

LARRY ANDREA HILL, Estate.
Executor Office.
Nation. South Carolina
General-Post Office.
Sumter, Hill Province
United States Minor, Outlying Islands.
Near. [29150-9998]

night - of one-five October two-zero one-five

Office of Governor
Attention Nikki Haley
1205 Pendleton Street
Columbia, South Carolina [29201]

To: Office of Governor

From: Executor Office LARRY ANDREA HILL, Estate.

Regarding: Unauthorized administration of LARRY ANDREA HILL, Estate;
[Sumter County Family Court Docket Numbers; 03-DR-43-1926]

The attached are enclosed for your attention. Most importantly, a confession by George McFaddin that is supported by the record, that there is no record of a duly executed oath of office nor a commission issued from the Office of Governor or Office of Secretary of State for the term in question. From this trespass a cascade of issues developed.

Kindly monitor or intercede in this situation as required.

govern yourself accordingly

By: executor


LARRY ANDREA HILL, Estate
Executor Office.

Nation. South Carolina
General Post Office
Sumter, Hill Province
United States Minor, Outlying Islands.
Near [29150-9998]

LARRY ANDREA HILL, Estate.
Executor Office.
Nation South Carolina.
General-Post Office.
N. Main Street – 311.
Sumter.
United States Minor, Outlying Islands.
Near. [29150-9998]

day - of one-six October two-zero one-five

7012 3460 0002 8427 5531

Office of Director, South Carolina Court Administration
Attention: Rosalyn W. Frierson
Calhoun Building
1220 Senate Street, Suite200
Columbia, South Carolina
U.S.A. [29201]

To: Office of Director.

From: Executor Office – LARRY ANDREA HILL, Estate.

Regarding: Unauthorized administration of LARRY ANDREA HILL, Estate;
[Sumter County Family Court Docket Numbers; 03-DR-43-1926]

I accept all oaths of offices from all employees of government agencies of which I communicate with.

Enclosed you will find “abandoned” paperwork, DIVORCE DECREE and ORDER OF IMPRISONMENT, which appears to erroneously “allege” that for the term July 1, 2004 thru June 30, 2010 George M. McFadden Jr., Family Court Judge, purporting to be a commissioned officer of South Carolina State, and W. Jefferey Young, Family Court Judge, by un-warranted acts, fraudulently claim authority from this Executor Office to administrate for LARRY ANDREA HILL, Estate. That false claim is hereby Adjourned.

Please provide for the term indicated above (July1, 2004 – June 30, 2010) their judicial authority to act in a judicial capacity to issue judicial warrants, anything less is null and void initio. This unregistered, non-certified, non-judicial DIVORCE DECREE issued by a non-commissioned officer who also acknowledges (see attachment 1) that there is not a record of his oath of office for the aforementioned term, and the ORDER OF IMPRISONMENT are actions under color of law, an attempt to deprive rights and immunities, denial of due process of law, extortion, and misprision of perjury of oath of office.

While I am more then willing to settle any legitimate claim(s), I would expect that alteration of forms, or fabrications of information, to justify an attempt to collect a non existing debt or an allege debt, would be considered an act of fraud, treason, malfeasance, or at least incompetence from these officers. I do not understand your laws or claims. It is my wish for this continual denial of liberty and property to Cease and Desist.

Therefore, you will forthwith return and transmit the specific written delegation of authority to “represent” that authorization to administrate the LARRY ANDREA HILL Estate has been warranted. In addition provide a certified copy of your oath for the Office of Director. Provide authority for these officers asking for unsubstantiated funds through the Postal System and why you believe it is not in violation of State, Federal and International laws (Pontiff Francis Papel Decree, July 11, 2013). Anything you may have or think you may have, if not from this executor office, is void nunc pro tunc.

In addition provide a detailed list of all bonds, sureties, indemnification, insurance and Court Registry Investment System (CRIS) CUSP numbers, and full accounting relating in any way to your or any related actor’s personal or professional involvement as referenced above, through the unwarranted presentation of the arrogated paperwork intrusion upon the LARRY ANDREA HILL Estate.

govern yourself accordingly.

By: executor


LARRY ANDREA HILL, ESTATE

Executor Office.
Nation South Carolina.
General-Post Office.
N. Main Street – 311.
Sumter.

United States Minor, Outlying Islands.
Near. [29150-9998]


Certified Document:

copy to: Office of Governor
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,
Nikki R. Haley, Governor

copy to: Office of Attorney General
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
Alan Wilson, Attorney General

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)ss
COUNTY OF SUMTER)

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, this 16 day of October 2015, upon satisfactory evidence to the identity of the affiant subscribed and sworn above.

 (Seal)
NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission expires:

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF SUMTER)

RECORDED THE FAMILY COURT
THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
2010 MAR 23 AM 10:18 2007-DR-43-1473

CLERK OF COURT
SUMTER COUNTY, S.C.

~~_____~~,
PLAINTIFF,
vs.
~~_____~~,
DEFENDANT.

ORDER DENYING DISMISSAL

JUDGE: GEORGE M. McFADDIN, Jr.
PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: T. H. DAVIS, III
DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY: J. DAVID WEEKS*
GUARDIAN AD LITEM: NOT APPLICABLE
COURT REPORTER: NOT APPLICABLE
HEARING DATE: NOT APPLICABLE
(ORDER PREPARED BY COURT)

(*Regarding this order, there is no indication that Mr. Weeks is involved with Defendant's request that I dismiss this action and my ruling.)

In this divorce action Defendant, apparently without the assistance of his attorney, has submitted to the undersigned judge a proposed order of dismissal. I deny his request that I dismiss this case and my ruling.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On March 19, 2010 Defendant delivered to the clerk of the family court a proposed order without a motion. The clerk passed the proposed order on to me on the afternoon of March 19, 2010. Defendant, by his indication, provided copies of his proposed order to his attorney and Plaintiff's attorney. Defendant did not request a hearing to address his proposed order. I choose to address the

1c

proposed order without the holding of a hearing. I do not, sua sponte, raise any objections regarding procedural matters.

In his proposed order of dismissal, Defendant avers that I was not "...capable of making such a ruling..." regarding a final ruling I issued in this action earlier. Defendant states that because no current oath is on file at the Secretary of State for South Carolina I did not have the authority to issue the ruling in his case, this case.

ABSENCE OF FILED OATH WITH SECRETARY OF STATE

In February 2004 I was re-elected by the SC Legislature to a six-year term as a family court judge with the term to begin on July 8, 2004 and to end on July 8, 2010. When Defendant's proposed order reached me I immediately contacted the Office Secretary of State of South Carolina and learned from the custodian of filed oaths that indeed my oath for the term noted above was not on file. That was the first time I became aware that the oath was not on file there. Before that date I had never been notified by any person or any custodian at the Secretary of State that my current term oath was not filed there. Upon learning this I searched for and found my re-election file from 2004 and in that file I found a copy of the cover letter dated June 5, 2002 that indicated the signed and notarized oath was mailed to the Secretary of State on or about that date. Thus, while the oath was mailed to the Secretary of State it obviously never reached that address.

GROUND FOR DENIAL OF ORDER TO DISMISS THIS ACTION

Oath Mailed: I first deny the request for dismissal as it is not my fault the oath is not on file. It is not the fault of the Secretary of State. As stated above, the oath

That means the commission granting the authority to perform the duties of that office was never issued as noted in exhibit 1.

in question was mailed in June 5, 2004. Any fault I accept would be that I did not follow-up to see if the oath reached its destination. Yet, my concern was mailing the oath before the new term began, the current term.

Attorney General Opinion: I deny the request based upon the opinion letter issued by the SC Attorney General's office on March 19, 2010. I contacted that office after learning the oath was not on file at the Secretary of State. I have attached to this order a copy of that letter which states that the absence of the oath does not affect in any way my authority as a family court judge. Counsel for that office indicated that the SC Attorney General has ruled over many years that matters such as oaths do not affect the authority of the office holder and that a third-party may not raise the lack of a filed oath as a basis to call into question any decision made by the person holding an office. Further, it appears that a law that requires a bond or oath is "merely directory." See the cases noted in the attached.

A "directory" requirement or statute is defined as one where the observance of it is not necessary to the validity of the proceeding to which it relates; it is one to which compliance is optional; and, a "directory" statute is the opposite of a "mandatory" statute. See Black's Law Dictionary.

Lack of Statutory Requirement For Filing of Oath: While circuit court judges are required by SC Code Section 14-5-110 to take an oath and file it with the SC Secretary of State, there is no corresponding statute that requires a family court judge to take an oath. SC Code Section 63-3-30, which provides for the qualifications of family court judges specifically, is devoid of any language or


3


requirement that a family court judge take or file an oath. Therefore, while family court judges may take oaths and file them with the SC Secretary of State, they are not required to do so by statute. Yet, according to the SC Attorney General, even if a statute did call for a family court judge to take and file an oath, such a statute would be directory and not mandatory.


ORDER OF THE COURT

Based upon the foregoing grounds, I respectfully deny Defendant's request that I dismiss this action and my ruling with prejudice (or even without prejudice).

It is so ORDERED this 3rd day of March 2010 at Sumter, South Carolina.



GEORGE M. McFADDIN, Jr.

ATTEST A TRUE COPY

ROGER B. SMITH
CHIEF DEPUTY FAMILY COURT

Although Plaintiff perhaps did not plan to claim the debt was marital in character, Plaintiff's actions leading to the motion to compel caused Defendant to incur the fees. I find Plaintiff owes \$450 in attorney's fees to Defendant.

DECREE, ORDER AND JUDGEMENT OF THE COURT

Based upon the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, it is consequently **DECREED, ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** that, in addition to any directive included in the foregoing body of this ORDER and JUDGMENT:

The parties shall comply with their stipulation regarding the division of the gravesites;

Plaintiff's oral motion to amend her complaint to seek a divorce on the ground of separation for one year is granted;

Plaintiff shall be granted a divorce from Defendant on the ground of separation for one year;

Both parties shall be barred from seeking any form of alimony from the other;

Plaintiff shall be awarded the 17 South Main Street real estate in Sumter, SC, and Defendant shall execute any documents to effect the transfer of this property from Profile Enterprise, LLC; specifically, Defendant shall cooperate in this transfer; as a result of this award and transfer Plaintiff shall own this property free and clear of any claim of Defendant;

Defendant shall be awarded the marital home, and Plaintiff shall cooperate and execute any documents to effect this transfer; as a result of this transfer Defendant shall own this property free and clear of any claim of Plaintiff;

 17

Case to Refinance

Regarding the marital home, Defendant shall refinance the mortgage on the home and lot into only his name within 90 days of the filing date of this decree;


Regarding the above two property transfers, these transfers shall be completed not later than 90 days from the filing date of this decree;

Regarding the remaining items of marital property as set forth on Addendum "A," Plaintiff shall be granted and shall own those items listed under "Plaintiff/Wife" and Defendant shall be granted and own those items listed under "Defendant/Husband;"

Each party shall pay those debts on his or her financial declaration and any debts related to or arising from any real or personal property in his or her possession;

Each party shall pay his or her attorney's fees and costs related to the action; however, Plaintiff shall pay to Defendant \$450 within thirty days of the filing date of this decree, this fee being related to the motion to compel matter as addressed by the January 11, 2006 order.

It is so DECREED, ORDERED and ADJUDGED this 25th day of January 2006 at Sumter, South Carolina.


George M. McFaddin, Jr.
Judge, Family Court
Third Judicial Circuit

(Decree drafted/typed by trial judge.)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
 COUNTY OF SUMTER)
)
 Juliette C. Hill)
 Plaintiff,)
 (v))
 Larry A. Hill)
 Defendant,)

RECORDED
 IN THE FAMILY COURT
 THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
 DOCKET No.: 2003-dr-45-1926
 JAMES C. CAMPBELL
 CLERK OF COURT
ORDER SUMTER COUNTY, S.C.

Date of Hearing : July 8, 2008
 Presiding Judge : W. Jeffrey Young
 Plaintiff's Attorney : John Miles
 Defendant's Attorney : Pro Se
 Court Reporter : Sandra McGarry

THIS MATTER CAME BEFORE THE COURT for the purpose of conducting a Rule to Show Cause hearing. Present and appearing in the courtroom were the following individuals: Plaintiff, Juliette C. Hill and her attorney John C. Miles and the Defendant, Larry A. Hill who appeared pro se.

Juliette C. Hill and Larry A. Hill were husband and wife who were divorced on January 25, 2006 in the Family Court of Sumter County. According to the Decree and Order of Divorce of The Honorable George M. McFaddin, Jr., Mrs. Hill was to receive free and clear of encumbrance, the property at 17 South Main Street in Sumter, and the Defendant was to execute any and all papers necessary to effect the transfer. A title to the real estate at 17 South Main Street was executed by Larry Hill and in favor of Mrs. Hill on May 17, 2006. However, shortly thereafter on August 23, 2006, Mr. Hill caused to be filed "Notice of Writs of Attachment" in favor of himself in an amount of One Billion, One Hundred Million Dollars, in the Sumter county Register of Deeds office.

Mrs. Hill attempted to sell the property at 17 South Main Street and discovered that liens had been filed by Mr. Hill and the transfer could not be consummated.

Both parties were sworn and testimony was taken.



EXHIBIT 5

PAGE 1 OF 2

FINDING OF FACTS

1. I find that both Plaintiff and Defendant are residents of Sumter County and this Court has jurisdiction of the parties to this action and the subject matter thereof.
2. I find that the parties were divorced on January 25, 2006 by a Decree and Order of Divorce of Sumter County Family Court.
3. I find that in the Decree and Order of Divorce the property located at 17 South Main Street; Sumter, South Carolina was awarded to Mrs. Juliette C. Hill.
4. I find that the Defendant did execute a title to the property transferring the property to Plaintiff free and clear of encumbrances.
5. I find that on August 23, 2006 Mr. Hill did cause to be filed a Notice of Writ of Attachment in favor of himself in an amount of One Billion, and One Hundred Million Dollars.
6. I find that upon the Plaintiff's attempt to sell the property located at 17 South Main Street liens were found filed by Mr. Hill and the transfer could not be completed.
7. I find that the Defendant Larry A. Hill's actions were the cause of the Plaintiff not being able to transfer the property that was awarded to her in the prior Order of the Court.
8. I find that the Defendant's actions are willful and are intended to thwart the prior Order of the Honorable George M. McFaddin, Jr.
9. I find that the Defendant is in Contempt of Court due to his filing of liens.


THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: That the Defendant, Larry A. Hill is found in contempt of Court, and sentenced to six months imprisonment, in the Sumter /



EX 5
2 of 2

Lee County Correctional Center. This sentence may be suspended upon the release of the liens attached to the property located at 17 South Main Street; Sumter, South Carolina by Friday, July 11, 2008 at 3:00 pm. Failure to remove said liens from public records, by the allotted time shall result in a Bench Warrant issued for his immediate arrest and he shall serve the sentence until his compliance with the prior Order.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



The Honorable W. Jeffrey Young
Third Judicial Circuit, Family Court

Executed this 9th day of July, 2008.
Sumter, South Carolina