

From: Brown, Ryan <RyBrown@ed.sc.gov>
To: Adams, ChaneyChaneyAdams@gov.sc.gov
CC: Godfrey, RobRobGodfrey@gov.sc.gov
Date: 11/15/2016 10:11:17 AM
Subject: RE: ICYMI: Gov. Nikki Haley And Supt. Spearman Ask Lawmakers To Make Education Superintendent A Cabinet Position

Here is the link to the letter - <http://ed.sc.gov/newsroom/joint-letter-of-support-for-appointment/>

If you want to work it into the release and send me a final copy, I can send out to our press list.

From: Adams, Chaney [mailto:ChaneyAdams@gov.sc.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2016 9:53 AM
To: Brown, Ryan
Cc: Godfrey, Rob
Subject: ICYMI: Gov. Nikki Haley And Supt. Spearman Ask Lawmakers To Make Education Superintendent A Cabinet Position

Ryan, take a look at this. When y'all are good we can coordinate sending to our press lists.

In Case You Missed It:

Gov. Nikki Haley And Supt. Spearman Ask Lawmakers To Make Education Superintendent A Cabinet Position

From [The Post and Courier](#)

"Instead of moving the state forward with a common vision for education priorities, this divided leadership structure can result in incompatible positions, a lack of coordination and fragmented accountability for failures in our Pre K-12 education system."

Gavin Jackson
November 15, 2016

"Gov. Nikki Haley and S.C. Superintendent of Education Molly Spearman called on lawmakers Monday to support upcoming bills that would make the top education job part of the governor's cabinet instead of being popularly elected.

"Haley's letter is a move to get a jump on one of her top remaining priorities in her final two years in office. The proposal will ask voters in 2018 to move the superintendent from elected office to a gubernatorial appointment in 2023. It is similar to other pushes rejected by lawmakers during the past two decades.

"'Instead of moving the state forward with a common vision for education priorities, this divided leadership structure can result in incompatible positions, a lack of coordination and fragmented accountability for failures in our Pre K-12 education system,' her letter to lawmakers says.

"One weakness of the current election method is that past governors and superintendents have come from different political parties but served at the same time, said Sen. Chip Campsen, R-Charleston.

"He sees the reform as long overdue and early next month will pre-file proposed legislation to make the change during the upcoming legislative session.

"You have (gubernatorial) candidates who may be able to address issues of education on the campaign

trail, but they have very little power to make any of it happen,' Campsen said. 'It just doesn't provide the appropriate level of accountability or let the executive branch be effective in implementing education policy.'"

"South Carolina's constitution separates the positions, leaving the state as one of only 13 in the country with an elected superintendent of education.

"If legislation were approved, voters would still need to agree to amend the constitution, as they did in a similar move with the Adjutant General's Office in 2014.

"A restructuring bill addressing the superintendent post made it the farthest in the 2016 legislative session. Haley and Spearman wrote House Speaker Jay Lucas, R-Hartsville, last year backing its movement. Spearman wrote him again Feb. 10 giving her support. The next day the House sent the joint resolution to the Senate, but two months later Senate Democrats blocked a vote on it.

"Campsen said it's hard to pinpoint the resistance issue but one common reason is state lawmakers typically don't like to give the governor more power.

"Even if the superintendent's office and its \$4.2 billion education budget were under the governor's purview, lawmakers would still have some degree of control since senators would need to confirm the superintendent and lawmakers would still control the budget.

"You wouldn't have a runaway governor's office when it comes to funding education,' Campsen said. 'There's even a potential to save money.'

"House Judiciary Chairman Greg Delleney, R-Chester, will pre-file legislation similar to Campsen's next month, as well, according to the letter."

-###-

The information contained in this transmission is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email. The South Carolina Department of Education is neither liable for the proper and complete transmission of the information contained in this communication nor for any delay in its receipt. Communications to and from the South Carolina Department of Education are subject to the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act, unless otherwise exempt by state or federal law.