

*Dave Bowers
Traffic Eng.*



South Carolina Department of Transportation

Minutes

Department of Transportation Commission

Meeting of

March 15, 2001



Minutes

Department of Transportation Commission

Meeting of

March 15, 2001

MINUTES

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
OF
SOUTH CAROLINA

Meeting of

March 15, 2001

The regular monthly meeting of the Department of Transportation Commission was held at the Headquarters Building in Columbia, South Carolina. In compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, the news media was advised in writing of the time, date and place of this meeting.

Present

Absent

L. Morgan Martin, Chairman, Presiding
Hugh Atkins
John N. Hardee
Robert W. Harrell, Sr.
B. Bayles Mack
Eugene C. Stoddard
J. M. "Moot" Truluck

SECTION 1: The Minutes for the meeting of February 15, 2001; copies of which had been previously mailed to each member of the Commission, were approved.

SECTION 2: The Commission unanimously passed a motion approving the sale of surplus right of way property - land, as shown in detail in the Appendix.

SECTION 3: The Commission unanimously passed a motion authorizing the Department to advertise, select and negotiate with a consulting engineering firm experienced in all phases of Underwater Bridge Inspection, as shown in detail in the Appendix.

SECTION 4: The Commission unanimously passed a motion authorizing the Department to advertise and select a consulting firm or firms for the purpose of providing engineering services for the replacement of six bridges on US 15/401 over the Great Pee Dee River and Swamp, as shown in detail in the Appendix.

SECTION 5: The Commission unanimously passed a motion approving the request of the Department to advertise for and select consulting firms for the purpose of providing engineering services for bridges on an "on-call" basis, as shown in detail in the Appendix.

SECTION 6: The Commission unanimously passed a motion approving the action of the Department in extending an existing construction contract to include additional work, as shown in detail in the Appendix.

SECTION 7: The Commission unanimously passed a motion approving the action of the Department in extending a contract beyond the contract limits, as shown in detail in the Appendix.

SECTION 8: The Commission unanimously passed a motion approving the action of the Department in removing sections of roads from the State Highway System, as shown in detail in the Appendix.

SECTION 9: On motion of Commissioner Atkins, seconded by Commissioner Hardee, the Commission unanimously passed a motion accepting the following Concurrent Resolutions, copies of which are shown in the Appendix:

Trooper David T. Bailey Memorial Interchange
Trooper First Class Eric F. Nicholson Memorial Highway
Robert A "Bud" Hubbard Memorial Bridge

SECTION 10: On motion of Commissioner Truluck, seconded by Commissioner Hardee, the Commission unanimously passed a motion approving the Mass Transit Funding Plans, as shown in detail in the Appendix.

SECTION 11: On motion of Commissioner Truluck, seconded by Commissioner Mack, the Commission unanimously passed a motion authorizing the Department to notify the CTC's by March 23, 2001 that the Match Program Proposal has been generally accepted by the Commission; however minor modifications may be made to the program prior to finalization by April 18, 2001.

SECTION 12: There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 11:50 a.m.



Appendix

Department of Transportation Commission

Meeting of

March 15, 2001

1. **File 43.731 / Road S-33 (McCrays Mill Road) / Sumter County**

During construction of improvements on Road S-33, under File 43.731 in Sumter County, near the City of Sumter, the Department acquired right of way by Title to Real Estate from Velma L.F. Banks dated July 27, 1999.

During negotiations by the Right of Way and Legal Departments to acquire new right of way needed for the project above, it was agreed that the Department would allow the Grantee to retain the existing dwelling if the portion on the new right of way was removed by the owner. Therefore a Gratis Quitclaim to Velma L. F. Banks for existing improvements was executed on December 20, 2000.

2. **File 46.312 / Road S-49 (Sutton Road) / York County**

During construction of improvements on Road S-49 (Sutton Road), under File 46.312 in York County, near the City of Fort Mill, the Department acquired right of way by Deed to Right of Way from Elliott Springs dated June 9, 1951.

A request was received from York County requesting the Department to deed its interest in a portion of Road S-49. In exchange, the County would convey to the Department an Easement interest in the Relocated Road S-49. After review it was determined that this action would be to the Departments benefit. Therefore a Gratis Quitclaim Deed for approximately 3.98 acres of land to York County was executed December 20, 2000.

3. **File 10.864 / Road S-2149 / Charleston County**

During construction of improvements on Road S-2149, under File 10.864 in Charleston County on Johns Island, near the City of Charleston, the Department acquired right of way by Right of Way Easement from Charleston County School District dated August 28, 1978.

A request was received from the School District asking the Department to abandon the 40-foot Right of Way Easement reference above. The County School system has plans for improvements that will require the location of S-2149. Therefore, a Gratis Quitclaim Deed for approximately 0.40 of an acre of land to Charleston County School District was executed on December 20, 2000.

4. **File 40.435 / US Route 76 & US Route 378 / Richland County**

During construction of improvements on US Route 76 & 378 (Garners Ferry Road), under File 40.435 in Richland County, in the City of Columbia, the

Department acquired right of way by Condemnation Notice from Andrew Patterson, Jr. dated February 7, 1957.

At the request of Lowe's Companies, Inc. to provide a safer entrance to a new store. Lowe's agreed to provide the land and build a relocated frontage road and entrance in consideration of the Department deeding Lowe's the abandoned right of way. Therefore, a Gratis Quitclaim Deed for approximately 0.526 of an acre of land to Lowe's Home Center, Inc was executed on January 3, 2001.

5. **File 26.488 / Road S-241 (21st Avenue) / Horry County**

During construction of improvements on Road S-241 (21st Ave.), under File 26.488 in Horry County, in the City of Myrtle Beach, the Department acquired right of way by Right of Way Easement from the City of Myrtle Beach dated October 26, 1970.

A request was received from the City of Myrtle Beach for the Department to reduce excess right of way on Road S-241 (21st Ave.) by 30 feet and deed the property back to the City for additions to it's convention center. After review it was determined that the parcel was surplus to the Department's needs. Therefore, a Gratis Quitclaim Deed for approximately 0.33 of an acre of land to the City of Myrtle Beach was executed on January 29, 2001.

6. **File 30.751 / Lauren County Bypass / Laurens County**

During construction of improvements on Laurens County Bypass, under File 30.751 in Laurens County, near the City of Laurens, the Department acquired right of way by Title to Real Estate from Tracy O. Williams dated March 30, 2000.

During negotiations by the Right of Way Department to acquire right of way for the above project the Department purchased a tract of land then agreed to deed half the property to the owner adjacent to the tract so she would have enough land to maintain adequate drain lines for the septic system which reduced damages owed to the Grantee. Therefore, a Gratis Quitclaim Deed for approximately 0.2 of an acre of land to Jermonica Newton was executed on January 29, 2001.

7. **File 40.468A / US Route 176 / Richland County**

During construction of improvements on US Route 176 (Broad River Road), under File 40.468A in Richland County, near the City of Columbia, the Department acquired right of way by Title to Real Estate from J. Rodney Hall dated September 14, 2000.

During negotiations to acquire right of way for the above project the Department agreed to deed 0.30 acres of surplus land to the adjacent property owner as credit for new right of way needed for the project. Therefore, a Gratis Quitclaim Deed for approximately 0.3 of an acre of land to Southland Log Homes, Inc. was executed on January 29, 2001.

8. **File 26.622 Road S-1179 Jetport Road / Horry County**

During construction of improvements on Road S-1179 (Jetport Road), under File 26.622 in Horry County, near the City of Myrtle Beach, the Department acquired right of way by Dedication from the City of Myrtle Beach dated August 5, 1990 by Right of Way Easement from Myrtle Beach Farms Company dated July 11, 1980, by Right of Way Easement from US America-Owner Horry County Airport Commission Lease dated July 7, 1980 and also February 9, 1981.

A request was received from the City of Myrtle Beach for the Department to deed the abandoned Road S-1179 (Jetport Road) back to the City in consideration that the City of Myrtle Beach agrees to accept maintenance responsibility for the road. The request was acted upon by the SCDOT Commission on November 16, 2000 in which Road S-1179 was removed from the Department's Highway System. Therefore, a Gratis Quitclaim Deed for approximately 26 acres was executed on February 5, 2001.

9. **File 26.986 /Carolina Bays Parkway/ Horry County**

During construction of improvements on Carolina Bays Parkway, under File 26.986 in Horry County, near the City of Myrtle Beach, the Department acquired a lot for replacement housing purposes for the Carolina Bays Parkway project, by Title to Real Estate from Stone's Edge of Horry County, LLC dated January 16, 2001.

The displacee located within the Carolina Bays Parkway project agrees to accept the subject lot purchased by SCDOT as replacement land for relocation purposes. Therefore, a Limited Warranty Deed for residential lot #63 to David L. Elliott and Deanna P. Elliott in consideration of \$33,100.00 was executed on February 8, 2001.

10. **File 26.761.01 / SC Route 544 / Horry County**

During construction of improvements on SC Route 544 Relocation, under File 26.761.01 in Horry, near the Town of Socastee, the Department acquired right of way by Title to Real Estate from Billy M. Best dated April 3, 1991.

A request was received from the adjacent property owner to purchase a small parcel of property owned by the Department. After review it was determined that the parcel was surplus to the Departments needs and could be relinquished provided a control of access is maintained. Therefore, a Quitclaim Deed for approximately 0.36 of an acre of land to L.D.B.D., LLC a SC Limited Liability Company in consideration of \$31,300.00 was executed on February 8, 2001.

11. **File 23.246A / I-85 /Greenville County**

During construction of improvements on I-85, under File 23.246A in Greenville County, near the City of Greenville, the Department acquired right of way for SC Highway 291 Relocation at SC Route 291 Frontage Road, by Condemnation Notice from Woodward Investment Company dated November 8, 1994.

During negotiations by the Legal Department to acquire right of way for the above project it was agreed that four parcels of surplus land would be deeded to the Grantee and adjacent property owner as credit for right of way needed. Therefore, a Gratis Quitclaim Deed for approximately 0.68 of an acre of land to Woodward Investment Company Inc. was executed on January 28, 2001.

12. **File 23.299A / I-185 (Southern Connector) /Greenville County**

During construction of improvements on I-185 (Southern Connector), under File 23.299A in Greenville County, near the City of Greenville, the Department acquired right of way for I-185 (Southern Connector), by Title to Real Estate from Theodore C. Theodorous dated February 10, 1999 and from Heidi Mirijam Burns by Titles to Real Estate dated April 22, 1999 and Keith Barns, Etal by Title to Real Estate dated April 29, 1999.

During negotiations to acquire new right of way for the above project, Property, Acquisitions & Negotiations, Inc. (the right of way consultant for this project) in order to settle a difficult acquisition case, agreed to deed two adjacent properties to the Grantee as credit for partial payment for the new right of way that is needed for this project. Therefore, a Gratis Quitclaim deed for approximately 18.6 acres of land to Frank Eppes was executed on February 21, 2001.

13. **File 39.674 / SC Route 93/US Route 76 / Pickens County**

During construction of improvements on SC Route 93/ US Route 76, under File 39.674 in Pickens County, near the City of Clemson, the Department acquired

right of way by Right of Way easement from Kenneth R. Kelly dated March 22, 1999 and by Title to Real Estate from Betty K. Kelly dated July 21, 1999.

During negotiations by the Right of Way department to acquire new right of way needed for the project above, the Department agreed to deed the City of Clemson, the subject surplus property in exchange for right of way and other properties given to the Department in relation to this project. The City has intentions of using the parcel as a future beautification area. Therefore a Gratis Quitclaim Deed for approximately 0.38 of an acre of land to City of Clemson was executed on February 22, 2001.

14. **File 30.751/ Laurens Bypass / Laurens County**

During acquisition of new right of way on Laurens Bypass, under File 30.751 in Laurens County, near the City of Laurens, the Department acquired right of way for Laurens Bypass, by Title to Real Estate from Tracy O. Williams dated March 30, 2000.

During negotiations by the Right of Way Department to acquire right of way for the above project the Department purchased a tract of land then agreed to deed half of the property to the owner adjacent to the tract so they would have enough land to maintain adequate drain lines for the septic system which reduced damages owned to the Grantee. Therefore, a Gratis Quitclaim Deed for approximately 0.2 of an acre of land to Henry E. Burnside & Michelle D. Walden was executed on February 22, 2001.

15. **File 42.170 A / SC Route 290 / Spartanburg County**

During construction of improvements on SC Route 290, under File 42.170A in Spartanburg County, near the Town of Duncan, the Department acquired right of way for Intersection changes at I-85 and SC Route 290, by Title to Real Estate from Allen J. Inglesby, Etal dated July 13, 1994.

A request was received from Michelin North America, Inc. to purchase a strip of land acquired by the Department in relation to the above project. Michelin has purchased Ingelsby Industrial Park for expansion of its facilities and is the only adjacent owner to the subject 2.8 acre tract of land. After review it was determined that the parcel was surplus to Department needs. Therefore, it is recommended that a Quitclaim Deed for approximately 2.8 acres of land to Michelin North America, Inc. be prepared in consideration of \$32, 000.00.

16. **File 42.170A / I-85 & SC Route 290 / Spartanburg County**

During construction of improvements on I-85 at SC Route 290, under File 42.170A in Spartanburg County, near the Town of Duncan, the Department acquired right of way by Title of Real Estate from Exxon Corporation dated May 20, 1992 and by Condemnation from M & P Associates dated October 16, 1992.

At the completion of the above project it was determined by the Department that the remaining parcels from tracts 104 & 106, which total 2.8 acres of land were surplus to the Departments needs. The property has been marketed to the general public with signs, newspaper ads, etc. and all necessary means to attract a qualified buyer at the highest acceptable price. Offers to purchase the property were received with the subject buyer offering the highest sales price that equates to the appraisal's value of the land. Therefore, a Quitclaim Deed for approximately 2.867 acres of land to J.C. Faw be prepared in consideration of \$490,000.00 was executed February 21, 2001.

Recommendations – 3/15/01

Underwater Bridge Inspection Project No. 14

The Department's Bridge Maintenance Office seeks approval to advertise, select and negotiate with a Consulting Engineering Firm experienced in all phases of Underwater Bridge Inspection. This is a required inspection process for certain bridges under the FHWA National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS). The cost of these inspections is estimated at \$575,000 and will be paid out of Federal Aid Bridge Funds.

The Department does not have all the resources to perform this type work so the use of a consulting Engineering Firm is justified.

**REQUEST FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR US 15/401 BRIDGES OVER
GREAT PEE DEE RIVER AND SWAMP – DARLINGTON/MARLBORO COUNTIES**

The Department requests Commission approval to advertise and select a consulting firm or firms for the purpose of providing engineering services for the replacement of six bridges on US 15/401 over the Great Pee Dee River and Swamp – Darlington/Marlboro Counties. The project length is approximately 4.5 miles and includes six bridges that total over one mile in length. Based on current and projected workload, the Department does not have sufficient staff to proceed with this project. The project will be funded through Bridge Replacement Funds.

REQUEST FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR

ON-CALL STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

The Department requests Commission approval to advertise for and select consulting firms for the purpose of providing engineering services for bridges on an "on-call" basis. The scope of services will be specified on a project basis. The Departments' cost versus the consultants' cost will be evaluated based on the scope of services. The evaluation will be used when negotiating for the services of one of the "on-call" consulting firms.

The "on-call" agreement will cover an approximate three- (3) year period renewable annually, beginning on the date of execution. The preliminary engineering services will be used for both State and Federal Projects.

Recommendations: 3/15/01

EXTENSION OF CONTRACT BEYOND CONTRACT LIMITS

It is recommended the Commission approve the extension of the following contract beyond the contract limits as follows:

PICKENS COUNTY:

Contract of Ashmore Brothers, Inc. - File No. 39.51011 - extended to include an additional section of Project C-168A (File No. 4.168A) consisting of the resurfacing with asphalt concrete surfacing on S-100 for 3.0 miles in Pickens County.

Estimated Cost of Extension

\$269,794.19

This extension is being requested in order to take advantage of lower unit prices available in this contract as opposed to other contracts currently available for extension in Pickens County.

Recommendations: 3/15/01

EXTENSION OF CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT

It is recommended that the Commission approve the action of the Department in extending an existing construction contract to include additional work, as follows:

ANDERSON COUNTY:

Contract of Thrift Brothers, Inc. - File 4.130A - extended to include an additional section of Project C-130A (File No. 4.130A) consisting of the resurfacing with asphalt concrete surfacing on US 29.

Estimated Cost of Extension

\$ 11,823.45

This extension was authorized by the Department prior to formal approval by the Commission since the adjacent work had reached such a stage of completion that the contractor involved could not accept the additional work unless it were authorized without delay.

Recommendations 3-15-2001

REMOVAL OF ROADS FROM STATE HIGHWAY SECONDARY SYSTEM

It is recommended the Commission remove the following sections of road from the State Highway System as follows:

Addition Number

Description

Aiken County

Portion of 168 ✓
✓ Highland Park Avenue from S-168 (Lancaster Street) to S-3 (Haynes Avenue)
- approximately 0.32 mile
(Designated S-223)
(Added to System 9-16-1948)

Note: The above road removal is requested by the City of Aiken who will accept maintenance responsibility for this section of road and it qualifies under the Abandonment of Right-of-Way portion of the law.

Clarendon County

Portion of 112 X
X East Keith Street from S-93 (Depot Street) to S-349 (Walker Street)
- approximately 0.12 mile
(Designated S-112)
(Added to System 6-15-1950)
(Revised 7-20-1950)

352 ✓
✓ Section of road from Route 260 at its intersection with Road S-62 easterly to Road S-48
- approximately 1.5 miles
(Designated S-62)
(Added to System 10-21-1965)

555 ✓
✓ Street at a subdivision from Road S-62 west of Road S-48 southerly and westerly
- approximately 0.2 mile
(Designated S-555)
(Added to System 12-20-1973)

597 ✓
X Section of road from Road S-48 approximately 0.5 mile southeast of Road S-316 northerly to Bill Brewer Pallen Mill
- approximately 0.2 mile
(Designated S-597)
(Added to System 3-17-1977)

Recommendations 3-15-2001

Removal of Roads from State Highway System

Page Two

<u>Addition Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
703 ✓	X Access road at Taw Caw Creek Park from Road S-38 on the north side of Taw Caw Creek northerly, easterly, southerly, westerly, and northerly forming a loop thru the parking area - approximately 0.3 mile (Designated S-703) (Added to System 10-18-1984)
704 ✓	X Access road at Taw Caw Creek Park on the south side of Taw Caw Creek from Road S-38 westerly and northerly - approximately 0.04 mile (Designated S-704) (Added to System 10-18-1984)
Portion of 755 ✓	X A frontage road west of I-95 from a point 0.5 mile north of S-79 to end - approximately 0.38 mile (Designated S-755) (Added to System 3-16-1989)

Note: The above road removals are requested by Clarendon County who will accept maintenance responsibility for these sections of road and they qualify under the Abandonment of Right-of-Way portion of the law.

Colleton County

897 ✓	✓ A frontage road east of I-95 from SC 61 (Milepost 68) northerly - approximately 0.24 mile (Designated S-897) (Added to System 3-16-1989)
----------	---

Note: The above road removal is requested by Colleton County who will accept maintenance responsibility for these sections of road and it qualifies under the Abandonment of Right-of-Way portion of the law.

Recommendations 3-15-2001

Removal of Roads from State Highway System

Page Three

Addition Number

Description

Lancaster County

Portion of 404 ✓
✓ Bethel Boat Landing Road, 1.35 miles southwest of S-187, southwesterly
- approximately 2.13 miles
(Designated S-296)
(Added to System 4-15-1965)

Note: The above road removal is requested by Lancaster County who will accept maintenance responsibility for this section of road and it qualifies under the Abandonment of Right-of-Way portion of the law.

Pickens County

Portion of 53 ✓
✓ Section of road from SC 93 to US 123
- approximately 1.34 miles
(Designated S-53)
(Added to System 6-27-1946)

181 ✓
✓ Section of road known as Black Snake Road from Road 53 approximately
1.0 mile south of US Route 123 westerly to Railroad Street in Liberty
- approximately 1.8 miles
(Designated S-181)
(Added to System 9-17-1953)

Note: The above road removals are requested by Pickens County who will accept maintenance responsibility for these sections of road and they qualifies under the Abandonment of Right-of-Way portion of the law

Recommendations 3-15-2001

Removal of Roads from State Highway System

Page Four

Addition Number

Description

Union County

Portion of 127 ✓

Also section of road from Road S-50 northerly, easterly, and northerly to S.C. Route 49 (Redesignated S-7) (Main Street)
- approximately 0.2 mile
(Designated S-516)
(Added to System 12-20-1956)
(Revised 6-19-1991)

Note: The above road removal is requested by the City of Union who will accept maintenance responsibility for this section of road and it qualifies under the Abandonment of Right-of-Way portion of the law.

REMOVAL OF ROADS FROM STATE HIGHWAY SECONDARY SYSTEM
BY COURT ORDER

By order of Master of Equity for Greenville County Charles B. Simmons, dated December 15, 2000, and recorded December 18, 2000, the following section of road was closed in accordance with Section 57-9-10, et seq. of the Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, as amended. (CA No. 2000-CP-23-5263) Therefore this section of road shall be deleted from the State Highway System.

Addition Number

Description

Greenville County

1006 ✓

Miller Street adjacent to Greenville from Road S-419 to Andrews Street
- approximately 0.1 mile
(Designated S-1006)
(Added to System 7-21-1977)

Recommendations 3-15-2001

Removal of Roads from State Highway System

Page Five

REMOVAL OF ROADS FROM STATE HIGHWAY SECONDARY SYSTEM
BY COURT ORDER

By order of Master of Equity for Greenville County Charles B. Simmons, dated November 30, 1999, and recorded December 1, 1999, the following section of road was closed in accordance with Section 57-9-10, et seg. of the Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, as amended. (CA No. 99-CP-23-3673) Therefore a portion of Mauldin Street and Kirk Boulevard shall be deleted from the State Highway System.

and

By order of Master of Equity for Greenville County Charles B. Simmons, dated October 4, 1999, and recorded October 12, 1999, the following section of road was closed in accordance with Section 57-9-10, et seg. of the Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, as amended. (CA No. 99-CP-23-906) Therefore a portion of Mauldin Street and Kirk Boulevard shall be deleted from the State Highway System.

and

By order of Master of Equity for Greenville County Charles B. Simmons, dated September 15, 1998, and recorded September 21, 1998, the following section of road was closed in accordance with Section 57-9-10, et seg. of the Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, as amended. (CA No. 98-CP-23-1549) Therefore a portion of Patton Drive shall be deleted from the State Highway System.

Addition Number

Description

Greenville County

Portion of 419

Mauldin Street adjacent to Greenville from a point 0.08 mile south of U.S. 123 southerly to S-1006 approximately 0.07 mile (Designated S-419) (Added to System 7-20-1961)

Portion of 420

Patton Street adjacent to Greenville from Road S-419 easterly to Road S-993 – approximately 0.08 mile (Designated S-420); also Miller Street adjacent to Greenville from a point 0.04 mile west of S-993 westerly to S-419 – approximately 0.04 mile (Designated S-1006) Total Length Removed 0.12 mile (Added to System 7-20-1961) (Revised 9-15-1994)

H. 3002.

Introduced by Representatives Wilkins, Cato, Easterday, Hamilton, Leach, Loftis, Rice, F. Smith, Tripp, Vaughn, Littlejohn, Simrill and J. Young.

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

TO REQUEST THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO NAME THE INTERCHANGE AT I-85 AND WOODRUFF ROAD IN GREENVILLE COUNTY THE "TROOPER DAVID T. BAILEY MEMORIAL INTERCHANGE" IN MEMORY OF DAVID T. BAILEY, A SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHWAY PATROL TROOPER WHO WAS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY WHILE ATTEMPTING A TRAFFIC STOP AT OR NEAR THE INTERCHANGE.

BE IT RESOLVED by the House of Representatives, the Senate concurring:

THAT the members of the General Assembly request the South Carolina Department of Transportation to name the interchange at I-85 and Woodruff Road in Greenville County the "Trooper David T. Bailey Memorial Interchange", in memory of Trooper David T. Bailey, of the South Carolina Highway Patrol, who was killed in the line of duty on April 6, 2000, while attempting a traffic stop at or near the interchange; and install appropriate markers or signs at places along the highway the department considers advisable containing the words "Trooper David T. Bailey Memorial Interchange".

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Department of Transportation.

State of South Carolina
In the House of Representatives
Columbia, South Carolina
March 1, 2001

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution passed in the House of Representatives and concurred in by the Senate.



David H. Wilkins
David H. Wilkins
Speaker

Sandra K. McKinney
Sandra K. McKinney
Clerk of the House

①
514

(Text matches printed bills. Document has been reformatted to meet World Wide Web specifications.)

COMMITTEE REPORT

January 31, 2001

H. 3166

Introduced by Reps. Wilkins, Cato, Vaughn, Loftis, Hamilton, Leach, F.N. Smith, Rice, Easterday, Tripp, Simrill, Davenport, Coates and Robinson

S. Printed 1/31/01--H.

Read the first time January 9, 2001.

THE COMMITTEE ON INVITATIONS AND MEMORIAL RESOLUTIONS

To whom was referred a Concurrent Resolution (H. 3166) to request the Department of Transportation to name that portion of Woodruff Road from the I-85 interchange to the I-385 interchange in Greenville County as the "Trooper First Class Eric F. Nicholson Memorial Highway", etc., respectfully

REPORT:

That they have duly and carefully considered the same and recommend that the same do pass:

RONALD N. FLEMING, for Committee.

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

TO REQUEST THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO NAME THAT PORTION OF WOODRUFF ROAD FROM THE I-85 INTERCHANGE TO THE I-385 INTERCHANGE IN GREENVILLE COUNTY AS THE "TROOPER FIRST CLASS ERIC F. NICHOLSON MEMORIAL HIGHWAY" IN MEMORY OF TROOPER FIRST CLASS ERIC F. NICHOLSON WHO WAS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY WHILE SERVING HIS COUNTY AND STATE.

Be it resolved by the House of Representatives, the Senate concurring:

That the members of the General Assembly request the South Carolina Department of Transportation to name that portion of Woodruff Road from the I-85 Interchange to the I-385 Interchange in Greenville County as the "Trooper First Class Eric F. Nicholson Memorial Highway" in memory of

Trooper First Class Eric F. Nicholson who was killed in the line of duty while serving his county and State, and to install appropriate markers or signs at places along the highway the department considers advisable containing the words "Trooper First Class Eric F. Nicholson Memorial Highway".

Be it further resolved that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Department of Transportation.

---XX---

State House Network-LPITR@<http://www.scstatehouse.net>

This web page was last updated on February 6, 2001 at 6:35 PM

①
514

COMMITTEE REPORT

January 31, 2001

H. 3322

Introduced by Reps. Wilkins, Cato, Easterday, Hamilton, Leach, Loftis, Allen, Rice, F.N. Smith, Tripp, Vaughn and Haskins

S. Printed 1/31/01--H.

Read the first time January 24, 2001.

THE COMMITTEE ON

INVITATIONS AND MEMORIAL RESOLUTIONS

To whom was referred a Concurrent Resolution (H. 3322) to request the Department of Transportation to name the bridge spanning I-385 at Secondary Road 84, Standing Springs Road, in Greenville County, the "Robert A. 'Bud' Hubbard Memorial Bridge", etc., respectfully

REPORT:

That they have duly and carefully considered the same and recommend that the same do pass:

RONALD N. FLEMING, for Committee.

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

TO REQUEST THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO NAME THE BRIDGE SPANNING I-385 AT SECONDARY ROAD 84, STANDING SPRINGS ROAD, IN GREENVILLE COUNTY, THE "ROBERT A. 'BUD' HUBBARD MEMORIAL BRIDGE" IN MEMORY OF ROBERT 'BUD' HUBBARD A DISTINGUISHED SOUTH CAROLINIAN WHO, AMONG HIS MANY ACHIEVEMENTS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS, PLAYED AN INSTRUMENTAL ROLE IN THE PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE SOUTHERN CONNECTOR ROADWAY IN GREENVILLE COUNTY.

Whereas, Robert A. 'Bud' Hubbard, who passed away on March 8, 1999, was, at his death, the chairman, chief executive officer, and president of Wilbur Smith Associates; and

Whereas, the company which Mr. Hubbard headed has received national and international recognition as a preeminent consulting firm in transportation engineering. It has thirty offices in the United States and five offices in other countries; and

Whereas, Mr. Hubbard spearheaded the early planning and actual construction of the Southern Connector Roadway in Greenville County. He was an ardent supporter of this important transportation

infrastructure link in the prosperous Piedmont; and

Whereas, during his lifetime, Mr. Hubbard received many awards including the Distinguished Service Award from the Consulting Engineers of South Carolina in 1993 and the President's Award from the South Carolinians for Better Transportation in 1992. He was also named "Engineer of the Year" by three separate professional organizations. Mr. Hubbard served as chairman of the International Road Federation from 1995 to 1998; and

Whereas, it is fitting that the members of the General Assembly recognize the accomplishments and service of this very influential and active South Carolinian in this appropriate manner. Now, therefore,

Be it resolved by the House of Representatives, the Senate concurring:

That the members of the General Assembly request the South Carolina Department of Transportation to name the bridge spanning I-385 at Secondary Road 84, Standing Springs Road, in Greenville County, the "Robert A. 'Bud' Hubbard Memorial Bridge" in memory of Robert 'Bud' Hubbard, a distinguished South Carolinian who, among his many achievements and accomplishments, played an instrumental role in the planning and construction of the Southern Connector Roadway in Greenville County and install appropriate markers or signs at places near the bridge the department considers advisable containing the words "Robert A. 'Bud' Hubbard Memorial Bridge".

Be it further resolved that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Department of Transportation.

---XX---

State House Network-LPITR@<http://www.scstatehouse.net>

This web page was last updated on February 16, 2001 at 11:42 AM

⑧
513

RURAL TRANSIT FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS
FY 2001-2002

TOTAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FEDERAL AND STATE
RURAL TRANSIT

Federal Funds Available for Distribution:	\$ 4,100,000
Federal Funds for State Administration: (approx. 12%)	<u>\$ 494,674</u>
Total Federal Grant Available:	\$ 4,594,674
 State Funds Available for Distribution:	 \$ 2,175,000

PROGRAM OF PROJECTS

Total Number of Recipients: 14

CATEGORY A

<u>Recipient</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Requested Amount</u>	<u>Proposed Allocation Amount</u>	<u>2000-01 Allocation Approved 04/00</u>
(01) Aiken Area Council On Aging (AACOA)	Capital, Admin & Ops To assist a 1-county system	Fed State Total	\$76,308 <u>33,731</u> \$110,039	\$76,308 <u>33,731</u> \$110,039	\$100,000
(02) Berkeley-Charleston-Dorchester (BCD) Rural Transportation Mgt. Association (RTMA)	Admin & Ops To assist a 3-county system.	Fed State Total	\$818,467 <u>269,606</u> \$1,088,073	\$ 197,718 <u>99,361</u> \$ 297,079	\$335,000
(03) Clemson Area Transit System (CATS)	Admin & Ops assist. for a 1-county system	Fed State Total	\$440,608 <u>247,843</u> \$688,451	\$ 391,539 <u>210,452</u> \$ 601,991	\$525,000
(04) Coastal Rapid Public Transit Authority (CRPTA)	Capital, Admin & Ops To assist a 2-county system	Fed State Total	\$986,313 <u>216,448</u> \$1,202,761	\$ 446,471 <u>224,371</u> \$ 670,842	\$600,000
(05) Edgefield County Senior Citizens Council	Capital, Admin & Ops To assist a 1-county system	Fed State Total	\$191,233 <u>42,726</u> \$233,959	\$ 115,377 <u>57,981</u> \$ 173,358	\$145,000
(06) Fairfield County Transit System (FCTS)	Capital, Admin & Ops To assist a 1-county system.	Fed State Total	\$95,430 <u>58,772</u> \$154,202	\$ 95,863 <u>51,526</u> \$ 147,389	\$130,000
(07) Generations Unlimited	Capital, Admin & Ops To assist a 1-county system	Fed State Total	\$117,828 <u>80,506</u> \$198,334	\$117,828 <u>80,506</u> \$198,334	\$170,000
(08) Lowcountry Regional Transit Authority (LRTA)	Capital, Operations and Admin. To assist a 5-county system	Fed State Total	\$408,623 <u>224,334</u> \$632,957	\$ 403,649 <u>216,961</u> \$ 620,610	\$635,000
(09) Pee Dee Regional Transportation Authority (PDRTA)	Capital, Ops and Admin. To Assist a 9-county system	Fed State Total	\$1,056,667 <u>803,067</u> \$1,859,734	\$ 915,308 <u>491,978</u> \$1,407,286	\$1,430,000

(10) Santee Wateree Regional Transportation Authority (SWRTA)	Capital, Ops and Admin. To Assist a 4-county system	Fed State Total	\$591,218 <u>229,858</u> \$821,076	\$ 469,486 <u>235,936</u> \$ 705,422	\$720,000
(11) Santee Wateree RTA @ Lower Richland	Capital, Admin & Ops To assist a 1-county system.	Fed State Total	\$134,601 <u>65,736</u> \$200,337	\$ 113,505 <u>61,009</u> \$ 174,514	\$185,000
(12) Spartanburg County	Admin & Ops assist. for a 1-county system	Fed State Total	\$364,833 <u>242,552</u> \$607,385	\$ 280,948 <u>141,188</u> \$ 422,136	\$440,000
(13) Williamsburg County Transit System (WCTS)	Capital, Admin & Ops To assist a 1-county system	Fed State Total	\$376,000 <u>245,000</u> \$621,000	\$376,000 <u>245,000</u> \$621,000	\$460,000
(14) Lower Savannah COG	Feasibility Study	Fed State Total	135,936 <u>33,984</u> \$ 169,920	\$ 100,000 <u>25,000</u> \$ 125,000	\$185,000
Total			\$8,588,228	\$6,275,000	\$6,180,000

The Proposed Allocation was based on the current formula

Formula: The formula use transit Ridership, Cost per revenue mile, Vehicle revenue miles, and Local support. These factors are weighted as follows: (40% Weight Factor)(%Ridership) + (30% Weight Factor)(%Vehicle Revenue Miles) + (20% Weight Factor){(% Cost Per Revenue Mile - 1)} + (10% Weight Factor)(% Local Support).

If actual request was less than the formula allocation, (where an agency did not ask for their full allocation) the need was met in the 1st round, and the remaining funds were returned to the grant.

If actual request was more than the formula allocation, (where an agency asked for more than their allocation) the remaining funds were dispersed proportionately in the 2nd round.

URBAN ALLOCATIONS

Table 1

LARGE URBAN

FY 2001-02				FY 2000-01		
	Federal	State	TOTAL	Federal	State	TOTAL
Augusta *	\$350,000	\$70,000	\$420,000	\$350,024	\$70,005	\$420,029
Charleston	\$2,866,223	\$573,245	\$3,439,468	\$2,958,637	\$591,727	\$3,550,364
Columbia	\$2,697,421	\$527,080	\$3,224,501	\$2,543,677	\$508,735	\$3,052,412
Greenville	\$1,406,504	\$246,305	\$1,652,809	\$1,329,753	\$265,951	\$1,595,704
Central Midlands	\$166,400	\$22,000	\$188,400	\$208,000	\$41,600	\$249,600
TOTAL:	\$7,486,548	\$1,438,630	\$8,925,178	\$7,390,091	\$1,478,018	\$8,868,109

State funds allocated based on 20% match to the Federal

*Augusta is split with Georgia - total apportionment for this area is 1.89m

SMALL URBAN

FY 2001-02				FY 2000-01		
	Federal	State	TOTAL	Federal	State	TOTAL
Anderson	\$434,431	\$80,695	\$515,126	\$410,299	\$80,695	\$490,994
Florence	\$446,846	\$83,001	\$529,847	\$422,024	\$83,001	\$505,025
Myrtle Beach	\$468,602	\$87,042	\$555,644	\$442,572	\$87,042	\$529,614
Rock Hill	\$497,555	\$92,420	\$589,975	\$469,916	\$92,420	\$562,336
Spartanburg	\$867,347	\$161,109	\$1,028,456	\$819,167	\$161,109	\$980,276
Sumter	\$515,382	\$95,732	\$611,114	\$486,753	\$95,732	\$582,485
TOTAL:	\$3,230,163	\$600,000	\$3,830,163	\$3,050,731	\$600,000	\$3,650,731

State funds allocated proportionately to match the Federal

**METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS
FY 2001-2002**

TOTAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FEDERAL (MPO)

Recipients	2000-2001 Allocation Approved 4/00	Requested Amount	Proposed Allocation
Berkeley-Charleston Dorchester Council of Government (CHATS)	\$62,928	\$76,256	\$76,150
Central Midlands Council of Government (COATS)	\$62,420	\$63,555	\$63,181
Greenville County Planning Commission (GRATS)	\$43,813	\$47,838	\$47,738
City of Anderson Planning Department (ANATS)	\$24,000	\$24,000	\$24,000
Augusta-Richmond Co. Planning Commission (ARTS)	\$24,000	\$24,000	\$24,000
Florence/City Planning Department (FLATS)	\$24,000	\$24,000	\$24,000
Waccamaw Regional Planning & Development Council (GSATS)	\$24,000	\$24,000	\$24,000
City of Rock Hill Planning & Development Department*	Requested that funds be held in reserve (\$24,000)	\$24,000	\$24,000
Spartanburg County Planning & Development Commission (SPATS)	\$24,000	\$24,000	\$24,000
Sumter City- County Planning Commission (SUATS)	\$24,000	\$24,000	\$24,000
Total Allocation	\$337,161	\$355,649	\$355,069

The Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) listed above have submitted applications to continue providing transit related planning and technical support in their various MPO areas. Therefore, we recommend funding as shown in the chart above.

*City of Rock Hill Planning & Development will receive a total of \$48,000 (\$24,000 from the FY 2000 already approved by the commission and \$ 24,000 from FY 2001 as shown)

**RURAL TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
RECOMMENDATIONS**

FY 2001-2002

TOTAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FEDERAL

Federal Funds Available for Distribution:	\$ 110,823
Federal Funds for State Administration:	\$ <u>0</u>
Total Federal Grant Available:	\$ 110,823
 State Funds Available for Distribution:	 \$ 0

PROGRAM OF PROJECTS

RTAP funds are used for Training, Workshops, Conferences and Technical Assistance to support and enhance rural transportation. RTAP funds are exempted from State Administration charges and do not require local match.

It is recommended that the 2001-01 RTAP funds be used for annual conferences and training for the public providers by SCDOT staff and other non-SCDOT personnel.

**STATEWIDE PLANNING PROGRAM
RECOMMENDATIONS SECTION 5313(b)**

FY 2001-2002

TOTAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FEDERAL

Federal Funds Available:	\$ 92,868
Federal Funds for State Administration: (10%)	\$ <u>9,278</u>
Total Federal Grant Available Statewide projects:	\$ 83,590

Total State Funds Required Including 20% local match: \$ 23,268

The statewide planning program funds are used at the state level for statewide initiatives to support and enhance transportation throughout the state. These initiatives will include technical assistance to public providers and the promotion of coordination of transportation in South Carolina.

**Summary
Elderly and Disabled Program**

Selection Process:	An evaluation criteria was used to award funds under this program. Eighty-nine (88%) of agencies that applied are recommended for at least one vehicle.
Total number of applications:	56
Total number of agencies recommended:	49
Total agencies ineligible for funding :	0
Total grant Amount:	\$1,069,122
Total state administration:	\$106,912
Total grant amount from FY 2001 appropriation to be distributed	\$962,210
Total grant amount from old funds to be distributed:	\$174,999
Total available funds for distribution:	\$1,137,209
Total unobligated federal amount:	\$21,617
Total state funds:	\$0
The breakout of recommendation:	See Attached
The total number of vehicle funded:	47
The total purchase of services funded:	2

Note: The Mass Transit office also recommends that an additional \$ 8,064 in federal funds be allocated to Jasper County Board of Disabilities and Special Needs to purchase ADA equipment for a 15 passenger van. The additional funds will be taken from an old grant - SC-16-0025.

**FY '01-'02 SECTION 5310-026 RECOMMENDATIONS
ELDERLY & DISABLED PROGRAM**

Agency Name	NO. OF UNITS	VEHICLE / EQUIP. / PURCHASE OF SER	TOTAL BUDGET REQUESTED		
			FEDERAL	LOCAL	TOTAL
Aiken Area Council on Aging	1	17 PASS CUTAWAY	\$36,000	\$9,000	\$45,000
	1	RADIO EQUIP	\$448	\$112	\$560
**Allendale Barnwell DSNB- Allendale	1	15 PASS VAN	\$21,106	\$5,277	\$26,383
**Allendale Barnwell DSNB- Barnwell	1	15 PASS VAN	\$21,106	\$5,277	\$26,383
Anderson County DSNB	1	15 PASS VAN	\$16,184	\$4,046	\$20,230
Anderson Youth Association	1	Jacob's Law Bus	\$36,031	\$9,008	\$45,039
Babcock Center	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$29,272	\$7,318	\$36,590
	1	RADIO	\$720	\$180	\$900
**Bamberg County DSNB	1	15 PASS VAN	\$25,136	\$6,284	\$31,420
	1	7 PASS VAN	\$14,036	\$3,509	\$17,546
**Bamberg County Office on Aging	1	15 PASS VAN	\$21,442	\$5,361	\$26,803
Charles Lea Center	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,364	\$5,091	\$25,455
Charleston Area Senior Citizens Services, Inc.	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$28,260	\$7,065	\$35,325
Cherokee County DSNB	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,000	\$5,000	\$25,000
Chesterfield County DSNB	0	PURCHASE OF SVS	\$20,000	\$5,000	\$25,000
Clarendon County Council on Aging	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,000	\$5,000	\$25,000
Colleton County COA	1	15 PASS VAN	\$21,826	\$5,457	\$27,283
	1	15 PASS VAN	\$21,826	\$5,457	\$27,283
		CELL PHONES	\$580	\$145	\$725
**Colleton DSNB	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,667	\$5,167	\$25,834
Darlington County COA	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,632	\$5,158	\$25,790
Dorchester County DSNB	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$29,272	\$7,318	\$36,590
**Edgefield County Senior Citizens	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,664	\$5,166	\$25,830
		Radio	\$840	\$210	\$1,050
		Hardware	\$2,000	\$500	\$2,500
Emerald Ctr. Multi Co. Bd. for DSN	1	17 PASS CUTAWAY	\$36,000	\$9,000	\$45,000
**Fairfield/Newberry DSNB-Fairfield	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,632	\$5,158	\$25,790
**Fairfield/Newberry DSNB-Newberry	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,632	\$5,158	\$25,790
Flint Hill Community Adult Day Care	1	17 PASS CUTAWAY	\$36,000	\$9,000	\$45,000
Florence County DSNB	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$29,180	\$7,295	\$36,475

RECOMMENDED VEHICLE/SERVICE	TOTAL BUDGET RECOMMENDED			CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS
	FEDERAL	LOCAL	TOTAL	
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	2
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	2
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	3
Jacob's Law Bus	\$32,000	\$8,000	\$40,000	3
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	6
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	6
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	4
15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	1,6
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	4
Purchase of Svs.	\$20,000	\$5,000	\$25,000	5
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	6
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	2,6
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	2,6
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	1,5
15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	1,6
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	3
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	5
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	5
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	6

**FY '01-'02 SECTION 5310-026 RECOMMENDATIONS
ELDERLY & DISABLED PROGRAM**

Agency Name	NO. OF UNITS	VEHICLE / EQUIP. / PURCHASE OF SER	TOTAL BUDGET REQUESTED		
			FEDERAL	LOCAL	TOTAL
Georgetown County Council on Aging	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,852	\$5,213	\$26,065
Greenville County DSNB	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,580	\$5,145	\$25,725
Hampton County DSNB	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,000	\$5,000	\$25,000
Harbison Area Transit	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$24,967	\$6,242	\$31,209
Hartsville Area Council for MR	1	17 PASS CUTAWAY	\$27,710	\$6,927	\$34,637
	1	Jacob's Law Bus	\$29,415	\$7,354	\$36,769
Jasper County COA	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,632	\$5,158	\$25,790
Jasper County DSNB	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$29,672	\$7,418	\$37,090
	1	17 PASS CUTAWAY	\$36,000	\$9,000	\$45,000
Kershaw County COA	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$28,120	\$7,030	\$35,150
Kershaw County DSNB	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,963	\$5,241	\$26,204
	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,963	\$5,143	\$26,204
Lee County Council on Aging	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$30,114	\$7,529	\$37,643
Lee County DSNB	1	12 PASS VAN	\$21,240	\$5,310	\$26,550
Lexington Recreation & Aging Comm	1	17 PASS CUTAWAY	\$36,280	\$9,070	\$45,350
Marlboro County DSNB	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,719	\$5,180	\$25,899
McCormick County Senior Center	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,664	\$5,166	\$25,830
Newberry County COA	1	15 PASS VAN	\$21,615	\$5,404	\$27,019
Oconee County DSNB	1	17 PASS CUTAWAY	\$31,529	\$7,882	\$39,411
Orangburg County DSNB	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$28,888	\$7,222	\$36,110
Park Avenue Adult Day Care	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$29,927	\$7,482	\$37,409
Pickens County DSNB	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$29,322	\$7,331	\$36,653
Piedmont Agency on Aging	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$28,980	\$7,245	\$36,225
Santee Senior Services, Inc	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,612	\$5,153	\$25,765
Senior Centers of Spartanburg	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$22,400	\$5,600	\$28,000
Senior Options, Inc.	1	15 PASS VAN	\$18,609	\$4,652	\$23,261
Senior Resources	1	17 PASS CUTAWAY	\$36,088	\$9,022	\$45,110
Senior Services of Beaufort County	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,632	\$5,158	\$25,790
Senior Services of Chester County	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,000	\$5,000	\$25,000
Spartanburg County	1	PURCHASE OF SVS	\$30,000	\$7,500	\$37,500

RECOMMENDED VEHICLE/SERVICE	TOTAL BUDGET RECOMMENDED			CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS
	FEDERAL	LOCAL	TOTAL	
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	1
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	4
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	2
15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	2
17 PASS CUTAWAY	\$36,000	\$9,000	\$45,000	5,6
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	2
15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	2
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	5
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	5
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	5,6
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	\$0	\$0	\$0	
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	5
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	3
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	5
17 PASS CUTAWAY	\$36,000	\$9,000	\$45,000	3
15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	2,6
15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	5
15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	3
15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	3
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	5,6
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	4
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	3,4
17 PASS CUTAWAY	\$36,000	\$9,000	\$45,000	2,6
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	2,6
15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	5
PURCHASE OF SVS	\$20,000	\$5,000	\$25,000	4

**FY '01-'02 SECTION 5310-026 RECOMMENDATIONS
ELDERLY & DISABLED PROGRAM**

Agency Name	NO. OF UNITS	VEHICLE / EQUIP. / PURCHASE OF SER	TOTAL BUDGET REQUESTED			RECOMMENDED VEHICLE/SERVICE	TOTAL BUDGET RECOMMENDED			CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS
			FEDERAL	LOCAL	TOTAL		FEDERAL	LOCAL	TOTAL	
<u>Sumter County DSNB</u>	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$28,292	\$7,073	\$35,365	15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	5,6
<u>Union County COA</u>	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,632	\$5,158	\$25,790	15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	4
<u>Vital Aging of Williamsburg County</u>	1	15 PASS VAN	\$21,246	\$5,312	\$26,558	15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	6
<u>Williamsburg County DSNB</u>	1	15 PASS VAN	\$20,984	\$5,246	\$26,230	15 PASS VAN	\$19,320	\$4,830	\$24,150	6
<u>York County Council on Aging</u>	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$29,238	\$7,310	\$36,548	15 PASS/ADA	\$27,384	\$6,846	\$34,230	5
<u>York County DSNB</u>	1	15 PASS/ADA	\$28,184	\$7,046	\$35,230		\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Requested			\$1,526,925	\$381,639	\$1,908,663	Recommended	\$1,115,592	\$278,898	\$1,394,490	

Federal Apportionment - Elderly & Disabled Program FY '01" :	\$1,069,122
State Administration (10%)	\$106,912
Recommended Federal Allocation	\$962,210
Unobligated Federal Dollars: (Prior Fiscal Years)	\$174,999
Total Available	\$1,137,209
Unobligated Federal Dollars: (Program Reserve)	\$21,617

HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT
MATCH PROGRAM
(FEDERAL FUNDING)

03/14/2001

COUNTY	1999-2000 POPULATION	SHARE OF		SHARE OF	
		\$20,800,000.00 (\$26M x 80%) (Population Only)		\$ 20,800,000.00 (\$25,000.00 Plus Remainder by Population) RECOMMENDED	
ABBEVILLE	0.0068	\$	142,355	\$	159,485
AIKEN	0.0347	\$	721,469	\$	706,580
ALLENDALE	0.0034	\$	69,930	\$	91,063
ANDERSON	0.0416	\$	866,174	\$	843,285
BAMBERG	0.0048	\$	100,838	\$	120,263
BARNWELL	0.0058	\$	121,056	\$	139,363
BEAUFORT	0.0248	\$	515,570	\$	512,065
BERKELEY	0.0369	\$	768,206	\$	750,733
CALHOUN	0.0037	\$	76,086	\$	96,880
CHARLESTON	0.0846	\$	1,760,054	\$	1,687,744
CHEROKEE	0.0128	\$	265,491	\$	275,813
CHESTER	0.0092	\$	191,901	\$	206,291
CHESTERFIELD	0.0111	\$	230,131	\$	242,408
CLARENDON	0.0082	\$	169,728	\$	185,344
COLLETON	0.0099	\$	205,067	\$	218,729
DARLINGTON	0.0177	\$	368,971	\$	373,571
DILLON	0.0084	\$	173,680	\$	189,078
DORCHESTER	0.0238	\$	495,498	\$	493,102
EDGEFIELD	0.0053	\$	109,616	\$	128,556
FAIRFIELD	0.0064	\$	132,995	\$	150,642
FLORENCE	0.0328	\$	682,115	\$	669,402
GEORGETOWN	0.0133	\$	276,224	\$	285,952
GREENVILLE	0.0918	\$	1,909,960	\$	1,829,361
GREENWOOD	0.0171	\$	355,347	\$	360,701
HAMPTON	0.0052	\$	108,514	\$	127,514
HORRY	0.0413	\$	859,352	\$	836,840
JASPER	0.0044	\$	92,394	\$	112,285
KERSHAW	0.0125	\$	260,083	\$	270,704
LANCASTER	0.0156	\$	325,208	\$	332,228
LAURENS	0.0167	\$	346,549	\$	352,389
LEE	0.0053	\$	109,990	\$	128,909
LEXINGTON	0.0481	\$	999,877	\$	969,595
MCCORMICK	0.0025	\$	52,894	\$	74,970
MARION	0.0097	\$	202,218	\$	216,037
MARLBORO	0.0084	\$	175,157	\$	190,473
NEWBERRY	0.0095	\$	197,891	\$	211,950
OCONEE	0.0165	\$	342,992	\$	349,029
ORANGEBURG	0.0243	\$	505,898	\$	502,927
PICKENS	0.0269	\$	560,123	\$	554,155
RICHLAND	0.0819	\$	1,704,477	\$	1,635,239
SALUDA	0.0047	\$	97,573	\$	117,178
SPARTANBURG	0.0650	\$	1,352,978	\$	1,303,174
SUMTER	0.0294	\$	612,290	\$	603,437
UNION	0.0087	\$	180,981	\$	195,975
WILLIAMSBURG	0.0106	\$	219,627	\$	232,484
YORK	0.0377	\$	784,451	\$	766,080
		\$	-		
TOTAL	1.0000	\$	20,799,979	\$	20,799,980

PROPOSED HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT MATCH PROGRAM

The Commission approved the FY 2001 Funding obligation plan on August 9, 2000. As a part of that funding obligation plan 38 million dollars were set aside for a match program. This included the state match at approximately 20% which means that 30.4 million dollars of this were federal funds. The Match Program which is being proposed is a two part program. It is also recommended that this program be a one year program. All funds used would be from the FY 2001 funding plan.

The first part would be a general distribution program. These funds would be allotted to each county based on population. It is being recommended that 20.8 million in federal funds be used for the general distribution part of the match program.

The second part of the program would be for significant projects. This part of the match program would cover projects which would normally be unaffordable based on the funds which would be distributed by the general distribution funds. The amount of federal funds for this part of the match program would be 9.6 million dollars.

There are certain criteria which would be common to both programs. First of all the projects must be eligible for federal aid funds. The Department would require that the projects be developed to federal standards. Eligible types of projects may include intersection improvements, resurfacing, drainage improvements, safety improvements or maintenance rehabilitation. Since federal funds are involved, the South Carolina Department of Transportation will manage development and construction of these projects.

As stated earlier the first program would be called the "General Distribution Funds". The 20.8 million dollars in federal funds which would be allocated to this program would be distributed to each county through the County Transportation Committee (CTC). It is recommended that each county be allocated \$25,000 from the 20.8 million dollars initially with the balance of the 20.8 million dollars being distributed based on population. This will assist the more sparsely populated counties to some extent. For instance a small county such as Allendale would receive \$69,930 based on a straight distribution by population. However, if Allendale County is allotted \$25, 000 initially with the remainder being distributed by population, Allendale County would receive \$91,062. (There is a chart attached that shows the distribution for each County with the \$25,000 base and without the \$25,000 base.) It is recommended that the local government entity (CTC, County or Municipality) match the Department funds at a ratio of 1:1. If all counties participated in this part of the program the 20.8 million dollars matched at a 1:1 ratio would equate to 41.6 million dollars for the total program. The projects would be selected through a cooperative and coordinated selection process

between the South Carolina Department of Transportation and the local entity. In order to be eligible to participate in the match program, the CTC must commit 25% of its annual appropriation to resurfacing of secondary roads. Funds used for the match program would come from remaining 75% of the annual appropriation.

The second program would be referred to as "Significant Project Funds". Under this program it is proposed that the South Carolina Department of Transportation make a maximum of 9.6 million dollars in federal aid funds available to be matched by local contributions. As stated earlier, as a general rule these projects would not be affordable through the "General Distribution Fund." It is proposed that applications would be submitted for these projects by the County Transportation Committee or some other local government entity. Under this program it is recommended that the local entity match at least one dollar for every two dollars of Department funds. Based on a minimum ratio of 1:2 (local:SCDOT), this program would equate to 14.4 million dollars. If the average match is greater than 1:2, the total amount could equate to more than 14.4 million dollars. It is recommended that the Department's participation be limited to \$2 million for this program. However, the Department could consider allowing a county to supplement this program from eligible funds allocated to the "general distribution program"

It is recommended that the application process include at least the following elements. 1) The project location should be designated and identified on a map. 2) It should also include a detailed description of the work to be done. 3) The application should also include the cost estimate including any engineering, right of way acquisition and construction cost. 4) The sources of funding and amount to be contributed by each source should be included in the application. 5) A statement as to the significance of the project to the community or to the region should be included along with letters of support from the local officials, CTC, Council of Governments or Metropolitan Planning Organizations.

The South Carolina Department of Transportation Commission will have final approval of the projects. The projects would be ranked based on agreed upon criteria. Considerations for this criteria include the following:

- Type of Work
- Benefits of the Project
- Significance of the Project
- Project Cost
- Ratio of Matching Funds
- Project Support
- Let to Construction Within One Year of Application Approval
- Other Criteria Deemed to be Appropriate

For instance a project with a higher percentage of matching funds may be ranked higher than one with a lesser percentage of matching funds. A factor which may be considered under "Other Criteria" could be how the project could impact economic development in

an area. The applicant could submit specific information on a particular project to be considered under "Other Criteria".

The following criteria are being offered for consideration in ranking the projects.

- Public Benefits – 20 points
- Financial Plan – 40 points
- Project Approach – 20 points
- Other/Bonus Points – 20 points

If this proposal is approved, the Department would provide notification to the County Transportation Committees, Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Council of Governments by March 23, 2001. This would allow us to be in a position to receive applications by June 15, 2001. The rankings and recommendations could then be made to the Commission by July 19, 2001 thereby allowing the project development process to begin by August 1, 2001.

The recommendation is for the Commission to approve staff to proceed with a two part match program with 20.8 million dollars being allocated toward the "General Distribution Funds" and 9.6 million dollars allocated toward "Significant Project Funds" using the provisions as included in this package.

March, 2001