

From: Pisarik, Holly
To: Baker, Josh <JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov>
Date: 11/9/2015 11:04:45 AM
Subject: FW: For Governor's Office

From: Traynham, Michael [mailto:traynhms@dhec.sc.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 09, 2015 11:01 AM
To: Pisarik, Holly; Baker, Josh
Cc: Heigel, Catherine E.; Wilson, David; Baize, David; Taylor, Marshall
Subject: Re: For Governor's Office

Holly,

Per our discussion earlier today, here is the information about program budget:

Current budget:

- * 6.75 FTEs
- * \$469,035 projected budget (\$207,577 in FEMA grant funds, minimum of \$261,458 in state funds)

To implement the *current statute and regulation*, and assuming continued FEMA funding, we made an additional budget request in October:

- * Includes 7 additional FTEs needed for a total of 13.75 FTEs
- * Increases state funds (\$469.035) by \$595,000 for a total budget of \$1,064,035 (grant and state funds)

To implement proposed revised statute:

- * Includes additional staff needed under potential new statute to conduct additional inspections, compliance, issue permits, and conduct enforcement. Total program staffing would be 28 FTEs (13.75 +15)
- * \$2,925,900 total budget

As we discussed, the proposed fee structure in the draft statute is designed to provide the full budget for the 28 FTEs needed. If we receive either our current appropriation or the higher request from October's Executive Budget Submission:

- o Could reduce fees by 20% if remaining at current grant/state budget (\$469,035)
- o Could reduce fees by 40% if state budget request added to current grant/state budget (\$595,000 + \$469,035)

I will get you calculations on the projected fee reductions as soon as possible.

Regarding the hazard classification for failed dams, it turns out our website has that information at <http://www.scdhec.gov/HomeAndEnvironment/DisasterPreparedness/FloodUpdates/FailedDamReports/>

DHEC: Failed Dam Inspection Reports

Records on Failed Dams (Pre-Flood) Below is a listing of all of the confirmed failed dams to date after the historic 2015 flooding in South Carolina.

[Read more...](#)

The Richland dams that breached were mostly C1s and C2s - statewide it was a good mix of all categories. Under the newly proposed statute, all dams (but especially C1s and C2s) would be subject to more robust and frequent inspection by both the owner and the state to identify any deficiencies in dam structures.

Please let me know if you have any other questions or concerns.

Michael S. Traynham
Assistant General Counsel
SC Department of Health and Environmental Control
[2600 Bull Street](#)
[Columbia, SC 29201](#)
Office: 803-898-3350
Fax: 803-898-3367

PRIVACY NOTICE: The information contained in this message and all attachments transmitted with it may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Access to this information by any other individual is unauthorized and may be unlawful. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the information without retaining any copies. Thank you.