

**From:** Glaccum, David <DavidGlaccum@gov.sc.gov>  
**To:** Godfrey, Rob <RobGodfrey@gov.sc.gov>  
Adams, Chaney <ChaneyAdams@gov.sc.gov>  
Patel, Swati <SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov>  
**CC:** Taylor, Richele <RicheleTaylor@gov.sc.gov>  
**Date:** 9/20/2016 4:32:31 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Request for comment

---

I am currently typing a suggested quote.

---

From: Godfrey, Rob  
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2016 4:32 PM  
To: Glaccum, David; Adams, Chaney; Patel, Swati  
Cc: Taylor, Richele  
Subject: RE: Request for comment

Where are we on guidance here?

Deadline is on us. Has this been put on the governor's radar?

**Rob Godfrey**  
**Office of Governor Nikki Haley**  
**Desk: (803) 734-2028 | Mobile: (803) 429-6068**

---

From: Glaccum, David  
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2016 3:30 PM  
To: Adams, Chaney; Godfrey, Rob; Patel, Swati  
Cc: Taylor, Richele  
Subject: RE: Request for comment

Original letter from DOE and letter from attorney to the court attached.

---

From: Adams, Chaney  
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2016 3:03 PM  
To: Glaccum, David; Godfrey, Rob; Patel, Swati  
Subject: FW: Request for comment

David and Swati, coming to see you here.

---

From: Gardiner, Thomas [<mailto:tgardiner@aikenstandard.com>]  
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2016 2:47 PM  
To: Adams, Chaney  
Subject: Request for comment

Chaney,

A new development has come up in the MOX case. I just found today a letter from the state's counsel to the Hon. Judge J. Michelle Childs enclosing the semi-annual letter from the DOE. That letter admits the DOE has no plan for exit shipments of plutonium at least through July 31, 2017.

How does the governor's office respond to that letter from the DOE?

Does the letter reinforce the need for enforcement of the \$100 million penalty since it seems DOE will go yet

another year without completing MOX or removing plutonium?

I know we've gotten a long list of MOX related comments, but this is a little different in that it's forward looking. Also, I noticed the letter is document entry number 53 and the transcript was 51. 52 is omitted as of now, which leads me to believe that a decision has been reached but is not finalized for release yet. As soon as you know about the decision, can we preemptively ask for Governor Haley's reactions? That will be a pretty big moment for the state and for MOX and has implications for NNSA's future plans with the project. Thanks, Chaney. We haven't spoken in awhile, so it's nice to have the chance to work with you again.

Thomas Gardiner  
Aiken Standard  
Health, Science and Energy Writer  
[tgardiner@aikenstandard.com](mailto:tgardiner@aikenstandard.com)  
(803) 644-2381  
(803) 262-9142 Cell