

From: Datrechia P. Edwards <dpedwards2@juno.com>
To: agwilson@scag.gov agwilson@scag.gov
Lt. Governor's Office LtGov@scstatehouse.gov
CC: dpedwards2@juno.com dpedwards2@juno.com
Civil.Feedback@usdoj.gov Civil.Feedback@usdoj.gov
Date: 11/10/2017 1:47:11 AM
Subject: SC Follow Up, Re: SC: Please Cease and Desist Court Orders

Greetings,

I wish a happy Veterans Day to all who served. Freedom is not free. A fact I am reminded of as I lose more than \$400,000 in salary and benefits due to Richland County (South Carolina) Probate Court orders for case 2017MI401026 (rendered on September 5, 2017), case 2017MI401404 (rendered on October 16, 2017), and/or other case(s) deriving from the initial petition.

Money is a factor. Section 44-17-660 of a South Carolina law allows specific South Carolina employees to receive money due to the mental health commitment process. Since August 12, 2017, I encountered or received communication from nearly 30 people involved in the South Carolina process. I noticed individuals seemed concerned with signing paperwork, performing a rehearsed script, or scheduling the next steps in the process. (Follow-on appointments were pre-determined and scheduled for November 30, 2017 at 9:30 a. m. EST and December 15, 2017 at 1:15 p.m. EST at Richland County Health Department.)

One of my concerns is the little to no emphasis on my well-being and the U.S. Constitution (e.g., color of law, due process, or fair procedures). For example, neither court-appointed attorney/guardian ad litem filed legal documents (e.g., motion to dismiss) on my behalf. Also, on August 29, 2017, Dr. Tanya Dillihay and Kathleen Ryan, PSY-1, the court-appointed examiners, only evaluated my use of silence/constitutional rights for less than 30 minutes. Then, on September 5, 2017, the court-appointed examiners presented separate testimonies inconsistent with the U.S. Constitution, American Psychological Association, "Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct," and other standards for evaluating those who are allegedly mentally ill. Sadly, on October 30, 2017, when I tried to respectfully give an account of the October 16th hearing or state my beliefs to Dr. Butterfield, the doctor replied more than once, "I don't care."

"I don't care": My well-being, U.S. Constitution, rule of law, my loss of more than \$400,000 in salary and benefits, medical or ethical standards, public safety, common decency? How can the court-ordered treatment empower me or add value when the process includes people like Dr. Butterfield who stated, "I don't care"? What long-term effects can I experience due to the unnecessary court orders? Is the South Carolina mental health commitment process infested with color of law violations, fraud, criminal negligence, or, worse yet, murder for money? Please investigate and assist in nullifying the court orders. Thank you for your consideration and happy holidays.

Kind regards,
Datrechia P. Edwards
E-mail: dpedwards2@juno.com
Mailing address: 126 Wincay Road, Columbia, SC 29223

----- Original Message -----

Return-Path: <dpedwards2@juno.com>

Received: from mx06.dca.unttd.com (mx06.dca.unttd.com [10.171.44.36])

by maildeliver06.dca.unttd.com with SMTP id AABPACADFAFZL8GA

for <dpedwards2@juno.com> (sender <dpedwards2@juno.com>);

Mon, 6 Nov 2017 16:37:57 -0800 (PST)

Received: from outbound-mail03.dca.unttd.com (smtpout01-3.dca.unttd.com [10.171.42.141])

by mx06.dca.unttd.com with SMTP id AABPACADFAFFWXDA

for <dpedwards2@juno.com> (sender <dpedwards2@juno.com>);

Mon, 6 Nov 2017 16:37:57 -0800 (PST)

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/simple; d=juno.com; s=alpha;

t=1510015076; bh=47DEQpj8HBSa+/TImW+5JCeuQeRkm5NMpJWZG3hSuFU=; l=0;

h=From:Date:To:Cc:Subject:Message-Id:Content-Type;

b=HhDO3YBSukuIIV3HIysOOHDxoiogPfmduUfBBIjnz1GWmFaHuC9IvZ9SVKLAc9VZ

vkdwJLj94E6no6TDuwTIZ/Zf1tlbBYVwrl04uHUhWveq7yt2ytdTW3JS1bgVHecw1g

W723M9FDxScARkPxEyXG13GmowxkqLNIHJsrZX28=

Received: from outbound-mail.dca.unttd.com (webmail10.dca.unttd.com [10.171.12.150])

by smtpout01.dca.unttd.com with SMTP id AABPACABKADDNKTA

for <dpedwards2@juno.com> (sender <dpedwards2@juno.com>);

Mon, 6 Nov 2017 16:36:57 -0800 (PST)

Received: from [108.230.142.39] by webmail10.dca.unttd.com with HTTP:

Tue, 7 Nov 2017 00:35:45 GMT

X-Originating-IP: [108.230.142.39]

Mime-Version: 1.0

From: "Datrecia P. Edwards" <dpedwards2@juno.com>

Full-Name: "Datrecia P. Edwards" <dpedwards2@juno.com>

Date: Tue, 7 Nov 2017 00:35:45 GMT

To: agwilson@scag.gov,

LtGov@scstatehouse.gov

Cc: dpedwards2@juno.com,

Civil.Feedback@usdoj.gov

Subject: SC: Please Cease and Desist Court Orders

X-Mailer: EOW1_A

Message-Id: <20171106.193545.6904.0@webmail10.dca.unttd.com>

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Content-Disposition: inline

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252

X-UNTD-BPF:

4e4d400c402540754d3011f530200ced20b435954031918979c1792009797009b054241df9a1a43da5dd1499d4b0d0d594346da4e131a1

7d7db99d19fd9d65e4411990c9

X-UNTD-BodySize: 8550

X-ContentStamp: 30:15:3861624975

X-MAIL-

INFO:4e4000c8111a981a92011f5f58d64d9ada0e990e90571490d71c0e5e5210d0904400c2524710081dd200d1134d0f49434c59d3064

6445cded20b464514151541939052939c114d4ada091f4b0

X-UNTD-OriginStamp: XoeodO/7ABIMG0qZ5S0+zjckj4FLY/QWVrSIP656gsmDIFCIfgDo1A==

X-UNTD-Peer-Info: 10.171.12.150|webmail10.dca.unttd.com|outbound-mail.dca.unttd.com|dpedwards2@juno.com

X-UNTD-UBE:-1

Greetings,

I empathize with the victims and survivors of the tragedy in Texas, having survived the effects of police case 2016104-0105 in

Alexandria, Virginia (Franconia District/Fairfax County).

I pray the nation will remain resilient. Yet, I feel disenfranchised because South Carolina through Richland County Probate Court disregarded my constitutional rights and left me without more than \$400,000 in salary and benefits. Also, I am concerned the court, through its orders, possibly violated the color of law, 18 U.S. Code § 1519, or applicable laws. I shared my concerns with the Federal Bureau of Investigation and others.

Please cease and desist the orders for case 2017MI401026 (rendered on September 5, 2017), case 2017MI401404 (rendered on October 16, 2017), and/or other case(s) deriving from the initial petition. Thank you for your consideration and have a wonderful holiday season.

Kind regards,
Datrecia P. Edwards
E-mail: dpedwards2@juno.com
Mailing address: 126 Wincay Road, Columbia, SC 29223

----- Original Message -----

Return-Path: <dpedwards2@juno.com>
Received: from mx06.dca.unttd.com (mx06.dca.unttd.com [10.171.44.36])
by maildeliver05.dca.unttd.com with SMTP id AABN9S9LHAZSUH42
for <dpedwards2@juno.com> (sender <dpedwards2@juno.com>);
Tue, 31 Oct 2017 06:40:23 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from outbound-mail03.dca.unttd.com (smtpout01-3.dca.unttd.com [10.171.42.141])
by mx06.dca.unttd.com with SMTP id AABN9S9LHAWV569S
for <dpedwards2@juno.com> (sender <dpedwards2@juno.com>);
Tue, 31 Oct 2017 06:40:23 -0700 (PDT)
DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=juno.com; s=alpha;
t=1509457222; bh=47DEQpj8HBSa+/TImW+5JCeuQeRkm5NMpJWZG3hSuFU=; l=0;
h=From:Date:To:Cc:Subject:Message-Id:Content-Type;
b=qyyUYibst2AQzTTKM3sgGeDhWEz1UfK0IAZY6vjo+n9apelM+Xi/MEUrsxZ3+124
zCw8EPGKcmBIQ0Xi4EK8wJM50CNuSwvKli1WO91U16nZI87BAOWDS3IX4VCxI3xbYQ
xNEOz/TWYCVjnEK5oMQGKbDkxm/NsF1Z7d6aiZSE=
X-UOL-TAGLINE: true
Received: from outbound-mail.dca.unttd.com (webmail04.dca.unttd.com [10.171.12.144])
by smtpout01.dca.unttd.com with SMTP id AABN9S9KRAWZQFHS
for <dpedwards2@juno.com> (sender <dpedwards2@juno.com>);
Tue, 31 Oct 2017 06:39:59 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from [108.230.142.39] by webmail04.dca.unttd.com with HTTP:
Tue, 31 Oct 2017 13:38:15 GMT
X-Originating-IP: [108.230.142.39]
Mime-Version: 1.0
From: "Datrecia P. Edwards" <dpedwards2@juno.com>
Full-Name: "Datrecia P. Edwards" <dpedwards2@juno.com>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2017 13:38:15 GMT
To: agwilson@scag.gov,
dmorrisj@sccourts.org,
probatelawclerk@rcgov.us,
mccullocha@rcgov.us,
beltonj@rcgov.us,
darbyk@rcgov.us,
lewisk@rcgov.us,
elrodl@rcgov.us,
dbeaty@sccourts.org,
jkittredge@sccourts.org,
khearn@sccourts.org,
jfew@sccourts.org,
gjames@sccourts.org
Cc: Robert.Sneed@usdoj.gov,
usasc.civilrights@usdoj.gov,
lcoggiola@sccourts.org,
dmckeown@sccourts.org,
stricklerd@rcgov.us,
LtGov@scstatehouse.gov,

usms.wanted@usdoj.gov,
dpedwards2@juno.com

Subject: SC Probate Court: Rescind Detrimental Court Orders, Please

X-Mailer: EOW1_A

Message-Id: <20171031.093815.7656.0@webmail04.dca.unt.d.com>

Content-Type: multipart/mixed;boundary="--_JWM__J6925f46c.788c136aS.6095d637M"

X-UNTD-BPF:

0c9dd17ca8bcf5cdd1955cd8d1187c38dd98d998f591c961b5c871ddc1719159f1f8694de889c5b55d1c9c098cf10dac6c2555c58c98f8cc59b5d518599c6565452d4c012dbd0c294c49a5

X-UNTD-BodySize: 180168

X-ContentStamp: 4:6:722237077

X-MAIL-INFO:0c6871ecb1297d7df9193c65f9d8914c99f9e1dc8d81b8

X-UNTD-OriginStamp: XoeodO/7ABIMG0qZ5S0+zpc0+AP4TqdyY8Q7x75doholyLjdf4BX8g==

X-UNTD-Peer-Info: 10.171.12.144|webmail04.dca.unt.d.com|outbound-mail.dca.unt.d.com|dpedwards2@juno.com

X-UNTD-UBE:-1

Greetings,

I want to comply with the U.S. Constitution and the rule of law as I work toward rescinding Richland County (South Carolina) Probate Court orders for case 2017MI401026 (rendered on September 5, 2017) and case 2017MI401404 (rendered on October 16, 2017).

However, the court-ordered treatment is detrimental to my health because:

1. The court and select employees at the court-ordered facility seem to encourage noncompliance with Section 44-17-890 of a South Carolina law. Little emphasis is on my well-being, and I am without more than \$400,000 in salary and benefits.
2. The court based its decisions on false testimonies from the court-appointed (designated) examiners and disregarded my constitutional rights, such as fair procedures or due process.

On October 16, 2017, Richland County (South Carolina) Probate Court through Judge Ralph J. Smiley repeatedly stated the court orders are valid in South Carolina. Dr. Tepper on October 18, 2017 and, then, Dr. Butterfield on October 30, 2017, of Columbia Area Mental Health Center both reiterated the court orders are not valid outside South Carolina. It appears the court and select employees at the court-ordered facility seem to encourage noncompliance with Section 44-17-890 of a South Carolina law. Section 44-17-890 states, no person "...shall be granted leave of absence, or discharged during the pendency of such proceedings unless ordered by the court..." This section and other applicable laws could allow the court to continue unduly, unreasonably restricting my liberty, life, and body/property. If I left the state to pursue an active, tentative job offer, I do not know how the court would interpret Section 44-17-890 due to the terms "must" and "all" used in the court orders. Fleeing South Carolina without rescinding the orders goes against my desire to comply with the rule of law. Therefore, I am without more than \$400,000 in pay and benefits.

Unfortunately, the court based its decisions on false testimonies. For example, on September 5, 2017, Dr. Tanya Dillihay and Kathleen Ryan, PSY-1, the court-appointed examiners, presented separate testimonies that seemed inconsistent with the U.S. Constitution, American Psychological Association, "Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct," and other standards for evaluating those who are allegedly mentally ill. The court-appointed examiners only evaluated my use of silence/constitutional rights on August 29, 2017 for less than 30 minutes.

Also, select employees at the court-ordered facility maintain a pre-determined, biased position. For example, on October 30, 2017, when I entered the closed-door session with Dr. Butterfield, a handwritten, pre-filled yellow slip with appointments at Richland County Health Department for November 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. EST and November 9, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. EST, was visibly present on the desk. (Richland County Health Department is not listed in the court orders.) The doctor began the October 30th session by stating the intention to move forward with the involuntary treatment. Throughout the session, the doctor contradicted the court. At the hearing on October 16, 2017, Judge Ralph J. Smiley indicated the involuntary treatment could end (or the court orders could be rescinded) if I worked with Dr. Tepper who would coordinate with the court. Nia Behling, Richland County Probate Court's Commitment Division Director, assisted Judge Smiley as the judge gave instructions by pointing at the audiovisual equipment in the courtroom and, then,

advising me to work with "her" (referring to Dr. Tepper). When I tried to respectfully give an account of the October 16th hearing or state my beliefs to Dr. Butterfield on October 30, the doctor replied more than once, "I don't care."

Bottom line, I--a litigant--receive pre-determined, biased, false court decisions or examinations; dismissive responses; or harsh, hostile, threatening communication (since August 12, 2017 when the deputy delivered the first court order [case 2017MI401026] that Judge Amy McCulloch signed). The court-ordered treatment adds no value because it is unnecessary, costly, detrimental to my health, and a denial of my constitutional rights (e.g., fair procedures or due process). Please rescind the court orders. Thank you and have a wonderful holiday season.

Kind regards,
Datrecia P. Edwards
E-mail: dpedwards2@juno.com
Mailing address: 126 Wincay Road, Columbia, SC 29223

One Trick to Catch a Liar
The Beacon
<http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL3131/59f87d2fdf1917d2f49cast01duc>