

**From:** Danny Varat <DannyVarat@scstatehouse.gov>  
**To:** Ken MoffittKenMoffitt@scsenate.gov  
**CC:** Catherine McNicollCatherineMcNicoll@scstatehouse.gov  
**Date:** 4/6/2018 1:13:10 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Provisos

---

10-4. Thanks

---

From: Ken Moffitt  
Sent: Friday, April 6, 2018 12:39 PM  
To: Danny Varat  
Cc: Catherine McNicoll  
Subject: Re: Provisos

I think that this language will cause problems as it is more stringent than the 'life of the mother' exception in the Hyde amendment.

Kenneth M. Moffitt  
Assistant Clerk  
South Carolina Senate  
(803) 212-6300

On Apr 6, 2018, at 10:58 AM, Danny Varat <DannyVarat@scstatehouse.gov> wrote:

Hi, Mike said to contact you with any questions, so, here you go. Senator Cash sent this language as a suggested substitute for the life of the mother verbiage. What do you think? The LG has no preference-- just that there are no unforced errors. Thanks a lot

DV

An organization that provides abortion services in cases where the life of the mother is at risk and the termination of the pregnancy is incidental to the lifesaving intervention and the physician acts in accordance with the standard of care to preserve both the life of the mother and the life of the pre-born child is exempted from the above restriction on state family planning funds and may receive state family planning funds.

DHEC proviso:

The Department of Health and Environmental Control will inspect any organization receiving state family planning funds monthly to ensure that if the organization provides abortion services that it does so only where the life of the mother is at risk and the termination of the pregnancy is incidental to the lifesaving intervention and the physician acts in accordance with the standard of care to preserve both the life of the mother and the life of the pre-born child.