# A Situation Analysis and Proposed Strategy for America's Comeback

## *We Did Build It and We Can Build Our Future – Together*

***By William and Gail Stephens, Owners, Kestrel Horizons, LLC***

*(Note: Kestrel Horizons, LLC is a small engineering and environmental science consulting firm in South Carolina)*

This strategic plan is offered to The Romney-Ryan America's Comeback Team (ACT) for use as ACT sees fit. This Proposed Strategy is based, in part, on the Situation Analysis which follows, as well as the observations of many insightful Americans.

## Proposed Strategy for America's Comeback

1. **Help Americans understand that we will *ALL* be part of America's comeback, unlike the "new normal" resulting from the broken promises of the *New Democrat Party Leaders*. Illustrate how that will happen in very tangible, measurable ways.**

2. **Draw a bright line between the *Twentieth Century Democrats* and *The New Democrat Party*. Help Americans understand that *The New Democrat Party* is NOT the *Twentieth Century Democrat Party*.**

   **Most Americans have long forgotten or never knew that John Kennedy famously stated, *"A rising tide floats all boats"*. Bill Clinton signed bi-partisan the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) law, commonly referred to as "Welfare-to-Work."**

   **Help Americans understand that *The New Democrat Party* is a pathologically dysfunctional mutation of the Democrat party of John Kennedy or Bill Clinton – a Bolshevik evolution poised to mushroom. That it is a party which increasingly embraces Marxist ideals, while**

scoffing at that suggestion – something that would make JFK turn over in his grave. In other words – it is NOT the Democratic Party many embraced over the past several decades. This may be a topic too controversial for the candidates to tackle directly, so others will need to address this.

3. Put an absolute end to the legitimacy of the antiquated and always-erroneous "Trickle-Down Economics" analogy. Replace it with the Interdependence Network Model of the Internet. This will resonate with all Americans under the age of 70 – and will not alienate those who are older. A user gets a virus, the virus spreads, the systems slow down, servers go down, and many or all users suffer. When the network is humming and virus-free, all users are satisfied.

4. Expose the *New Democrat Party's* strategy as a transparent approach to hook people on OPM (Other People's Money). Show the Americans that this is unsustainable, and the pain of "withdrawal" due to insufficient OPM will be personally devastating for tens of millions of Americans.

5. Relate the *"You* didn't *build it!"* rhetoric of Mr. Obama to every American – not just the small business owners, themselves. Point out small businesses are owned and operated by our family members, friends, neighbors, and many of us. They also employ our family members, friends, neighbors, and many of us, as well. What hurts small business owners hurts all of us. Together, small businesses provide more than 50% (is the number actually higher than this?) of the private sector (non-government) jobs in America. Hammer on this point.

6. Point out the ***actual*** relative financial contribution to the common good of the presidential and Vice Presidential candidates (See Situation Analysis). Go on the ***offensive*** of this issue.

7. Use the calculations in the Situation Analysis (or something similar) to illustrate the absolute need to not take drastic measures which could

cause a meltdown in the private sector, e.g., a doubling of the number of unemployed Americans – without the resources to support that many.

8. Expose The New Democrat Party's strategy as *"constituency warfare"* rather than *"class warfare"*. Point out that The New Democrat Party's strategy is to sort people into constituency groups, then collect supporters by appealing to the narrow interests which Party's strategists used to manipulate the constituency group into acting as a group in the first place. Point out that this strategy is designed to pit Americans against each other in such a way that the "deck is always stacked" in favor of the The New Democrat Party's candidates.

9. Point out that the confiscation of ***assets*** – not just ***income*** – is intrinsically required by The New Democrat Party's strategy. That the logical next step will be the taking not only of earnings on a continual basis, but the progressive confiscation of accumulated assets. This will take the form of "taxes" on 401k's and IRA's – or taxes on mandatory distributions from 401k's and IRA's. This will be acceptable to most of the New Democrat Party Base Constituency because they rely primarily on pensions, welfare entitlements, and Social Security for retirement rather than on accumulated assets and Social Security. Point out that if we think we have a rift in American society now, just wait 'til that bombshell hits. This will rip apart friendships and family relationships, as individuals see others feathering their nests at their expense.

10. Turn the *"put y'all back in chains"* rhetoric of the New Democrat Party leaders around on them. Help African-Americans understand that the New Democrat Party's entire philosophy is to make the majority Americans dependent on the federal government (and The New Democrat Party) – and not on each other.

This approach is especially cruel for African Americans in that it perpetuates the despicable plantation dynamics of the slavery era. In

addition it is most certainly unsustainable – even if it were at all acceptable to African Americans who are proud of what they have overcome.

Most tragically, allowed to grow, The New Democrat Party strategy will inevitably lead to a new rift between races as it places increasingly unfair burdens on others and becomes viewed as a racially-motivated redistribution of wealth. We realize this is a highly charged issue, but with 95% of African Americans already planning to vote for The New Democrat Party, there is not a lot to lose by being forthright on this issue.

11. Emphasize that an **essential** part of America's Comeback is to get back to making things in America that the rest of the world wants and can afford. That government regulations which unduly burden American industry must be streamlined – and not eliminated entirely. Emphasize that the dynamics of the Global Marketplace cannot be ignored – and that the role of the American government should be to make sure the playing field is level.

12. Emphasize that America is indeed an extraordinary place with extraordinary citizens – and that Americans deserve leaders who believe that as they do.

# Situation Analysis

## Preface

Small businesses are **owned** and **operated** by our family members, friends, neighbors, and many of us. They also **employ** our family members, friends, neighbors, and many of us, as well. Together, small businesses provide more than 50% of the private sector (non-government) jobs in America.

Small business owners take serious personal risks. While the up side can be a nicer house or car or clothes, the down side can be devastating. Loss of the house, the car, and life savings – and, too often, serious health challenges and a short life span.

Most small business owners work average weeks of 50 to 70 hours. By the time they reach their mid-60's, they have generally worked the equivalent of ten to twenty years more than the average working American. Yet, unlike employees of government, institutions, large businesses – and union employees, they have no pensions or guaranteed retirement plans at all. Whatever they save and are able to invest in an IRA or a 401K, plus Social Security, is what they have at retirement.

And most American don't know that small business owners pay **twice as much** into Social Security as every other working American (the employee share **plus** the employer share) – yet are eligible for no more benefits than any other American. In fact, most cannot participate in flexible spending accounts, as everyone else can.

Three bad months can bankrupt some small businesses. One or two bad years can bankrupt many – or possibly most – small businesses and their owners. So much for the hard-earned savings and retirement.

The *New Democrat Party* continually holds up celebrities and investment barons as the target of their *Expedited Wealth Distribution* approach (see also the Medieval Inquisitions, the Bolshevik Revolution, and the Holocaust for precedents). In reality, most people in the Top 1% of all individual taxpayers are not the celebrities and barons – and most of the ones who are put their money to work every day in our nation's economy **and** give large sums to charity.

Note: We say the New Democrats or the New Democrat Party to distinguish the current Ruling Party from the ***Twentieth Century Democrats***. We say "New Democrat Party" because the current New Democrat Party is a pathologically dysfunctional mutation of the Democrat party of John Kennedy – or Bill Clinton. Most New Democrats have long forgotten or never knew that John Kennedy famously stated, ***"A rising tide floats all boats"***. Bill Clinton signed bi-partisan the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) law, commonly referred to as "Welfare-to-Work."

On the other hand the New Democrats, led by Mr. Obama, have spent (redistributed) money like drunken sailors (our apologies to drunken sailors for the understandingly insulting comparison) – and now that anyone who not living in La-La Land has figured out that didn't work, they are turning to waivers for the Welfare-to-Work provisions of the law. Sounds strikingly similar to the notion of waivers from the Obamacare Law for Friends of the New Democrats.

Make no mistake – at the ***core*** of the New Democrat Party are people who believe that the Communists of the Soviet Union had the ***right idea***, but poor execution. Some believe that this is the window of opportunity to finally secure reparations for the American sins of the past against Africans – slavery. Some are single-issue (one dimensional) activists who have a desperate need to advance the cause of taxpayer funded abortions on demand, gay marriage (not civil unions), guaranteed living income without any strings attached (like having to work for it).

Many are simply sheep who regurgitate the horseshit spewed by the vocal members of the core. And many are simply smartasses who have no constructive ideas – just sarcastic criticisms of those who do have ideas. Bill Maher is the Committee Chair of this group.

## Expedited Wealth Distribution

But MOST New Democrat Party supporters, regardless of their other affinity group membership, are supporting the Ruling Party because of the Expedited Wealth Distribution Promise; that is, they will be cared for at the expense of other citizens – living and yet to be born.

So it comes down to ***selfishness*** and ***self-preservation*** for the New Democrat Party supporters. And the Leader of the New Democrat Party, Barack Hussein Obama, has promised

an endless supply of OPM (Other People's Money) to the New Democrat Party supporters. The drug of the New Democrat (OPM) is far more addicting than its urban cousin, opium – the drug of the streets of Chicago.

Somehow, the New Democrats have been able to persuade a large percentage of Americans that the amount of federal taxes paid is the sole measure of a person's contribution to the common good. Actually, nothing could be further from the truth. The comparison of actual financial contribution of the America's Comeback Team and the New Democrat Party Presidential and Vice Presidential candidates to the common good in the Situation Analysis which follows is one illustration of that.

## Final Jeopardy

America is now in the "Final Jeopardy" round. For those who have ever watched Jeopardy on television, you know exactly what this means. The stakes go up. Players determine how much to risk of the prizes they have accumulated. Winning a lot or losing everything turns on knowing (or guessing) the right answer to an unknown question. Whatever the players win is provided by the sponsors and taxed by the government at prevailing rates. That's the anatomy of a game show.

Now imagine that the game is based on the players (1) risking their own money (the "entry fee"), which pays for the crew and expenses of the show, and (2) paying regular taxes on the net winnings. Sounds like the Free Enterprise system.

Now imagine that the government decided that the players should pay most of the net winnings, after regular taxes, to the government to be 'redistributed" to the crew, the spectators, and people who snuck into the show by the side door. Sounds a lot more like Communism as practiced to death by the former Soviet Union than Free Enterprise, doesn't it? But if you say that openly, people will call you immoral, racist, greedy, a "whacko", paranoid, and more.

Funny thing is, the people who endorse this "progressive" approach honestly believe that players will play because they can't help it – almost like habitual gamblers. The crew and spectators have become convinced that they are owed all of the redistributed winnings. Some believe that they are owed all of the current and past winnings as "restitution" for injustices caused by past rules.

And most curiously, many current and past players support the "progressive" approach because they either feel guilty or mistakenly believe that the crew, spectators, and sneakers would succeed as well as the players if only they had the resources.

So what do you do if you are a player? Most players will simply stop playing or dramatically reduce the amount risked. Why not become a spectator or crew member?

And that's America's Final Jeopardy. But in the end we'll all have the same resources – not enough to risk and not enough to sustain the game.

## Constituency Warfare

But here's the dirty little secret for the second term for the *Leader of the New Democrat Party*, *Barack Hussein Obama*:

> Confiscating much larger percentages of the ***income*** of the top 1% - or 10% - of the individual taxpayers won't be enough to fulfill the promises of the *New Democrat Party*.
>
> The dialogue among the *New Democrat Party leaders* has quietly changed to defining the Top by ***assets*** – not by ***income***.

Why now this shift?

Simple, really: The *Base Constituency* of the *New Democrat Party now* consists primarily of the following:

- Individuals and families who have accumulated little or no 401K or IRA assets – or who will fall below a threshold for tax (confiscation)
- Union members who have retirement programs based on an annual promise, rather than tangible asset accumulation
- Salaried employees of companies guaranteed the same retirement programs as union employees
- Government workers …ditto
- Institutional workers ….ditto
- Illegal aliens who will be allowed to vote without proving citizenship
- Young people who are taught to believe that anyone who accumulates anything or runs a business is evil and greedy at heart and must be forced to behave humanely.

- We believe that the *New Democrat Party* is essentially buying votes with our money by promising money and advantages to the *New Democrat Party supporters* in return for votes.

- The *New Democrat Party Leaders* have shown that they will protect their constituency preferentially in many ways – most notably be preserving virtually all of the benefits for auto union workers (including retired union workers) while hanging the shareholders out to dry.
- The avowed mission of *Expedited Wealth Distribution* is being used to create ***constituency warfare*** – very different from ***class*** warfare. America has entered the realm of the reality TV series "Survivor". The ***constituency warfare*** is intentionally creating winners and losers rather than fostering collaboration, with all winning. This is essentially the *Despicable Democrat Party* politics of Chicago, expanded nationwide.
- The next step, many like we believe, will be the taking not only of earnings on a continual basis, but the confiscation of accumulated assets. This will take the form of "taxes" on 401k's and IRA's or taxes on mandatory distributions from 401k's and IRA's. This will be acceptable to most of the *New Democrat Party Base Constituency* because they rely primarily on welfare entitlements, pensions, and Social Security rather than on accumulated assets and Social Security. Selfishness and self-preservation will rear their ugly heads. If we think we have a rift in American society now, just wait 'til that bombshell hits.
- The media in the US is so biased towards socialism (and in some cases communism) that the *New Democrat Party Movement* is positioned to steamroll society due to vilification of "those who have".
- Many small businesses are barely viable right now. Just a little more burden and the risks will not be worth the potential reward for continuing to operate the enterprise or to expand services, production, or employment. The *New Democrat Party Movement* discounts and ridicules statements to this effect as "scare tactics". The media are with them in this public ridicule. Small business owners will vote with their wallets. The result will be an increase in unemployment, an increased dependency on government, increased confiscation of accumulated assets, etc.
- The system the *New Democrat Party Movement* backs was tried in the Soviet Union for 80 years with horrible human consequences. In the end, it took a widespread civil war – supported by the US – to undo what the leftists did to the citizens of Asia. And it was all about redistribution of wealth and big government. Interesting to see that, as China discovers the power of enterprise, the US moves towards the very system we mocked less than twenty years ago. WTF???
- That's the big picture, to us. The small picture for us is that we are beginning to confer with others like us about ways to survive the battle between the "Makers" and the "Takers". We know that is overly dramatic and inaccurate on its face, but the situation is starting to feel like that for many – and perception becomes reality when personal security and welfare are threatened.

Here's the thing the New Democrat Party supporters don't realize:

- When the move to confiscate assets to fulfill Expedited Wealth Distribution Promises commences, unemployment will mushroom and assets for investment in the American economy will dry up.

## You didn't build that!!!

On July 17, 2012, Mr. Obama pounded on the *"Take the greedy business people's assets because government did it for them"* drum. He continually talks about "giving something back" as if that can only be done through taxes. The most amazing thing is that Mr. Obama absolutely rejects the notion that businesspeople paid an inordinate amount of taxes in the first place that helped build the infrastructure, etc. He dishonestly and destructively infers that business people get a free ride from taxpayers and are not taxpayers themselves.

With an ever increasing suspicion that Mr. Obama is engineering the Bolshevik evolution, more and more businesspeople are working on ways to avoid confiscation of assets. When the people who own the boats throttle down the engines and start moving towards the lifeboats, what happens next is usually tragic. That dynamic would mean very bad things for America's economic future. President Carter ignored economics and ran America up on the rocks. Virtually everyone in the US recognizes he was a buffoon as a leader. Mr. Obama is clearly determined to follow an even more disastrous course – and with an amazing level of arrogance for a man with so little relevant experience and training.

This is what the President of the United States said on July 17:

*"If you've got a business, you didn't build that. Somebody else made that happen."*

Under his breath, in an open mike moment, some believe he added, ***"Workers of the world, unite!"***

JFK said, "A rising tide floats all boats." He also fought against Communism as hard as any president we've had – and very strongly supported enterprise – including public-private partnerships. America got to the moon because of his vision of public-private collaboration and his emphasis on teamwork, rather than divisiveness. JFK must be spinning in his grave to see what has happened to his party and to the nation.

The paradigm that taxes substitute for charity and unions should be forced on all so that all businesses – large and small - can be dealt with as opponents dehumanizes society and will make us resent each other rather than care for each other in responsible and productive ways.

*President Obama, in a speech to supporters, suggested business owners owe their success to government investment in infrastructure and other projects -- saying "If you've got a business, you didn't build that."*

*Obama's comment Friday during a campaign stop in Roanoke, Va., came just days after he urged Congress to extend tax cuts enacted during the Bush administration only to families earning less than $250,000 annually -- part of his argument that top earners have an obligation to pay more to trim the deficit.*

*"There are a lot of wealthy, successful Americans who agree with me because they want to give something back," the president said. "If you've been successful, you didn't get there on your own. You didn't get there on your own. I'm always struck by people who think, well, it must be because I was just so smart. There are a lot of smart people out there. It must be because I worked harder than everybody else. Let me tell you something -- there are a whole bunch of hardworking people out there.*

*"If you were successful, somebody along the line gave you some help. There was a great teacher somewhere in your life. Somebody helped to create this unbelievable American system that we have that allowed you to thrive. Somebody invested in roads and bridges. If you've got a business, you didn't build that. Somebody else made that happen," he said. "The Internet didn't get invented on its own. Government research created the Internet so that all the companies could make money off the Internet."*


Barack Hussein Obama

The American President and Leader of the Free World: -

He listens with his mouth so he can talk out of his ass.

## The Implicit Economic Balance of a Constitutional Republic

The truth is that we will not reach equilibrium with the New Democrat Party Redistribution of Wealth and arrogance toward the enterprisers. We will continue in a downward spiral, and business owners are reacting and will increasingly act to protect what they have built until a total crash and the nation is ready to encourage and value enterprise again.

Mr. Obama's comment reveals his true beliefs about the role of enterprise and the value of people who ale business risks. He is clearly a socialist of the Marxist variety.

There are three primary ways to secure the assets to redistribute wealth by government intervention:

(1) confiscate a portion of transactions between parties or confiscate a "toll" on transaction

(2) confiscate a portion of the assets gained by a party

(3) confiscate a portion of the assets held by a party

If assets are located outside the domain of the sovereignty, the taxing is more complicated. No extradition treaties exist for assets a party places legally in another country. Also, the assets are almost always invested so that they grow, rather than being placed in a vault or buried in the ground. Investment in other nations and economies has gone on since the dawn of civilization and is now part of what is referred to as a "global economy".

The *quid pro quo* for citizens agreeing to be bound to a set of laws in America is fair taxation. That is what the original Tea Party in Boston Harbor was about. That was one of the fundamental principles that spawned our Revolutionary War. Historically, "taxes" were not necessarily fair, and that has spawned uprisings, civil wars, and migration of people over thousands of years. Any way you look at it "taxes" as we know them in America, are confiscation of assets, often in proportion to perceived ability to pay, rather than assets or income. User fees (e.g., park entrance fees, license fees), on the other hand, are a uniform exchange fee for services.

The balance every society strives for is to tax the people who can most afford to pay taxes at a rate which keeps them engaged and risking assets in enterprise and keeps them from migrating to another sovereignty. We don't have a Berlin Wall. We decried its existence for 35 years and pressured the USSR to tear it down. We need to walk that talk to have any credibility on the world stage.

This disproportional taxing formula is a thing of balance – not principle. If we strip away all the rubbish, people with more assets agree to be taxed at a higher rate out of some combination of sense of responsibility and fear. The option for people with more assets than their neighbor would be to have to defend assets with lethal force on a daily basis. The Robin Hood scenario.

When the "*uber* rich", as the New Democrat Party operatives call them, have been robbed, the masses turn to the next tier. Allowed to continue, everybody has about the same assets, and no one has enough to risk to create an enterprise that does anything more than run the assets around in a circle – never gaining much as a group. The socialist and communist models (we understand those are two different concepts) attempt to substitute central planning for market forces. This has always led to ruin and always will. No one and no group can integrate all the market forces that act each day – and we are not referring to Wall Street when we speak of market forces.

## Common Economic Elements of the Socialist and Communist Approaches

The following anecdotes are taken from a small meeting Bill attended with the equivalent of the City Engineer and the Director of Public works (and a KGB agent "escort) during Glasnost in South Carolina. Here are two quick examples of the inherent dysfunction and the fallacies of a centrally-planned economy:

1. Instead of using sand, salt, blowers, and plows to remove snow and ice in Moscow, the Soviets used ethylene glycol (antifreeze). That's because there was a giant antifreeze factory on the edge of the city that employed thousands. There were no stray cats or dogs and the river was polluted for 50 miles downstream. They wanted us to help them with a design to treat contaminated storm water, rather than make a common sense change in methods. The Central Planning system could not integrate the elements are address marketplace dynamics in what should have been a simple solution. To a man with a hammer, everything looks like a nail.

2. Speaking of nails, there was also a nail factory outside of Moscow. They made all sizes of nails from finishing nails to 8" spikes. The compensation of the management and workers were based on weight of total product. They were required to make so much of each type of nail, and after that they could make whatever they wanted. As you might guess, after the specified amount of each type of nail was made, they made only 8" spikes – the easiest to make and the best way to produce mass. The only problem was that, when the builders needed more finishing nails they had to go to the Central Planning committee to get the quotas changed – and that was a bureaucratic nightmare. So they put up trim with glue, tape, chewing gum – anything they could lay

their hands on – to meet their Central Planning Committee-established quotas. To a man with a hammer and no nails, life sucks.

## The Danger of Overburdening the Top

When one looks at how a group gains overall, teamwork is at the heart or the solution. Work is the ethereal element that has the potential to add value to the Creation. Sometimes it degrades the Creation, too – and that is why we have some or our regulations and government structure.

If those with assets pay "taxes" for others not to work, the net result for the group decreases. The key is to encourage individual and group efforts on a wide variety of efforts based on passions, talents, perception of needs of others (the "marketplace" in the sense of the full range of needs of society), and reasonable expectation of worthwhile rewards for risks and efforts. The society flourishes when efforts to meet needs that add value to the Creation are encouraged. We all have "customers."

## Quote of the Century

*"You cannot legislate the poor into freedom by legislating the wealthy out of freedom. What one person receives without working for, another person must work for without receiving. The government cannot give to anybody anything that the government does not first take from somebody else. When half of the people get the idea that they do not have to work because the other half is going to take care of them, and when the other half gets the idea that it does no good to work because somebody else is going to get what they work for, that my dear friend, is about the end of any nation. You cannot multiply wealth by dividing it."*

*~~~ The late Dr. Adrian Rogers, 1931 to 2005*

## The Power of Charity

In the American system, the combination of charity and taxes provides for the basic human needs of those who cannot work. My sister is on total disability after working hard for 30 years, so I have had some experience with that.

We must never underestimate or undermine the power of charity. Charitable giving makes us feel like we are working for others as well as ourselves – and that we give of our own choice rather than someone else's will imposed upon us.

Most supporters of the New Democrat Party Redistribution of Wealth believe that the government (and not charity) completely serves the function of providing for the needs of those who cannot work. Most conservatives agree with that philosophy – because relying to some extent on charity connects people – and achieves a more abundant result than government could ever do alone. Most conservatives and liberals believe passionately in the "higher purpose" of providing for the basic human needs of those who cannot work. That's a foundation to build on.

We understand that not all subscribe to such a higher purpose, but we can't let the lowest common denominator defeat our collaborative efforts or interfere with our collective good intentions. That's the potential tragedy of what is happening in America today.

## The Balance

Encouraging teamwork means encouraging risk taking by people with assets and teamwork by all citizens. That means teamwork in small teams and larger teams. That doesn't happen when the people with assets believe that Robin Hood and his merry band of thieves is about to break down the door. One could say that in the case of English, Russian, Roman, French, and most aristocrats, they deserved what they got in the way of justice dealt them by the masses. That's why the Robin Hood story resonates with the vast majority of people around the world.

While the Robin Hood story illustrates the need for fairness and equity in civilized society, it also epitomizes the history of countless dysfunctional attempts at civilization. The story also provides a lesson to us and future generations: We get a lot more with collaboration (if that is possible) than we do with conflict based on envy and avarice. In fact, these two deadly sins usually exist together, and are warning signs of a dysfunctional dynamic that is never cured by a political war of constituencies.

Tough to find the right balance – and that is why our Constitution and our American system is the best chance so far at civil discourse and civilized co-existence.

## Spending and the Danger of Big Government

Spending $4 trillion a year and taking in a little more than $2 trillion is not sustainable. Saving $2 trillion over 10 years when the deficit may be 5 to 10 times that is not sustainable. Printing $15 trillion to pay off the deficit would send all Americans into desperation and most into poverty. Confiscating most or all the wealth of "rich" Americans (top 5 %) would not make much of a dent in the situation we are in. We could confiscate some of the assets of businesses to "get even" financially, but we would also wave goodbye to substantial further

investment in most of them in America - even by most Americans. We can fairly easily kill the geese that lay golden eggs. And those actions would leave us all to the mercy of politicians of all varieties who will continue the same irresponsible actions to secure an advantage for the next election.

The dynamics of world politics and finance have become bizarre. We led and continued the conflict in Libya not to overthrow Quadaffi, but to create a strategic position to counter the power of the Chinese who just quietly purchased 11 million acres next door. The same Chinese who own 25% of our debt.

We believe the only way out is to harness the free enterprise spirit of Americans and to put a choke chain on politicians - all of them.

Thomas Jefferson said, ***"A government big enough to give you everything you need is big enough to take away everything you have."***

## Citizen of the World

When we were growing up, the concept of "Citizen of the World" was introduced by liberal thought leaders. A global economy was part of that concept and philosophy. Today, that concept is what draws countries together in tough times, rather than resorting to war to confiscate others' assets. Micro-loans are now a citizen-to-citizen manifestation of that concept at the individual level.

The truth, we believe, is that many Americans now identify with the plight of the poor in other countries than they do the plight of the poor in this country - because the poor in this country have far more than the average person in most other countries - and are supported by a robust social welfare program. Citizen of the World philosophy in action.

Before New Democrat Party Regime took control, we were a “Buy American” folks. We bought US stocks and USA made goods preferentially, usually paying a premium to do so.

We don't do that anymore. The avowed overarching "higher purpose" of Expedited Wealth Distribution is an illegitimate abuse of one group of citizens by another. That is fundamentally unAmerican to us, so we protest with our wallets - just like those protesting against Chic-fil-A.

We can tell you first-hand "We have met the enemy, and he is us.". China is simply adopting what made The USA a dominant force for most of 200 years. We have now reached the tipping point where the burdens and obstacles to manufacturing something in the USA for the world market are overwhelming in many industrial sectors.

## A Lesson in Global Economics

When we were growing up in Madison, Wisconsin, Gisholt Machine Tool was a huge employer. When the union went on strike Gisholt was Number Two in the world for machine tool manufacturing. When the strike that lasted (we recall) eight months ended, Gisholt had lost its position and went bankrupt. The City of Madison bought the main building and it is still used to house the city buses. We recall that more than 3000 people (Gisholt employees and employees of suppliers) lost their jobs. The Global Economy giveth and taketh away - even 50 years ago.

## Fundamental Differences

The following is a summary of the fundamental differences between the New Socialist Progressive Democrat Party and conservatives. The America's Comeback Team approach blends key elements of the Republican Party, the Libertarian Party, the Tea Party, and the Middle.

| New Socialist Progressive Democrat Party | The Middle | The Conservative Perspective |
|---|---|---|
| **Core Beliefs:**<br><br>• More Government is the answer.<br>• Product and service enterprises are necessary evils in society and must be controlled or run by government.<br>• Individual greed drives all profit-making enterprises. Without extensive government regulation, enterprising individuals will victimize others.<br>• Government run by leftists is inherently pure, with incidental imperfections. Leftist politicians and officials are servants pure of heart. A government run by leftists will prosper as the size and scope of government increases. Conservative efforts to limit government must be defeated by all means as | **Core Beliefs:**<br><br>• Somewhere in between, depending on the issue. | **Core Beliefs:**<br><br>• More Enterprise is the answer.<br>• Government is a necessary function in society and must be limited by the constitution and the citizens.<br>• Profit-making enterprises are driven by the desire to live better **and** to provide for others by hard work and taking personal risks.<br><br>• Government operations – regardless of who is in power - are inherently corrupt, as power over citizens and society drives politicians and government officials. All government must be limited, as expansion of power yields more corruption and waste. |

| New Socialist Progressive Democrat Party | The Middle | The Conservative Perspective |
|---|---|---|
| government is the answer.<br>• Conservative politicians and officials are puppets of business and inherently evil. Public ridicule by all means is the only way to control their evil acts.<br>• The constitution is a set of archaic guidelines written centuries ago by old rich white men. To the extent it is irrelevant in these times, it should be eclipsed by popular demand and government operations. Passage of new contemporary laws to protect certain groups from others is perpetually needed to manage society.<br>• Confiscation and redistribution wealth and advantage are primary functions of government, necessary to prevent greedy individuals from victimizing others and gaining unfair advantage. From each according to his/her ability, to each according to his/her need.<br>• Unions and other forms of "economic justice" bargaining power groups are needed and must be actively supported by government. Individuals should not be required to negotiate with enterprise owners. Individuals should also not be allowed to gain advantage over co-workers by individual negotiation. All states should be required by the federal government to require union membership if an applicable one exists. Enterprise owners who will not do business with unions should be prosecuted and required to do so.<br>• Abortion is a choice by living humans to not bring a potential human into the world of the living. Abortion is not homicide. | | • Leftist politicians are pathologically manipulative and grow their constituency by promising money and advantages, while punishing others.<br>• The constitution is what holds American society together. It is the set of covenants that prevent anarchy and chaos. The Rule of Law as derived from the Constitution applied equally to all insures civil liberties and prevents chaos and individual acts of justice-seeking and vengeance.<br>• Confiscation and redistribution of wealth and advantage are serious and extraordinary actions of government which, if not exercised very judiciously, will result in the untended consequences of destruction of motivation and severe resentment (and adverse actions) between the makers and the takers.<br>• Active support for and promotion of unions and other forms of "economic justice" bargaining power groups by government actions are Constitutionally illegal. An individual should be allowed and encouraged to speak and negotiate on his/her own behalf and not be throttled by the mass action. Enterprise owners should have full rights to do business with individuals and/or unions at their discretion with no interference from government.<br>• Abortion is a choice by living humans to deny another human the right to life. Abortion is homicide and is sometimes justifiable. |

| New Socialist Progressive Democrat Party | The Middle | The Conservative Perspective |
|---|---|---|
| • Capital punishment is homicide and is never justifiable.<br>• Financial resources are not individually owned – they are held in temporary custody until needed by the government, at the discretion of those in power. | | • Capital punishment is justifiable homicide.<br>• Financial resources are individually owned and can only be taken by the government by Constitutionally-legitimate means. |

## *The New Democrats' Despicable "One Percent" Deception*

The New Democrats hold up the "One Percent" of wealthiest Americans as prime targets for wealth confiscation and redistribution by the our government on behalf of the 50% of Americans who pay no federal taxes in the first place.

The New Democrats are either shockingly horrible at math or despicably deceptive. Many calculations have shown the total confiscation of the adjusted gross annual income of the top 1% of taxpayers would barely put a dent in the deficit and would not come close to balancing the federal budget.

I got these facts from a two hour search of internet sources, so I'm thinking the New Democrats must have similar access to the facts. No doubt, some of these statistics can be argued, but I suspect the adjustments would not materially change the analysis.

Here's an excerpt from an October 2011 report by CNN:

> *"By **Tami Luhby**, CNN Money*
>
> *Think it takes a million bucks to make it into the Top 1% of American taxpayers?*
>
> *Think again. In 2009, it took just $343,927 to join that elite group, according to newly released statistics from the Internal Revenue Service.*
>
> *Occupy Wall Street protesters have been railing against the Top 1%, trying to raise anger and awareness of the growing economic gap between the rich and everybody else in America.*
>
> *But just who are these fortunate folks at the top of the income ladder?*
>
> *Well, there were just under 1.4 million households that qualified for entry. They earned nearly 17% of the nation's income and paid roughly 37% of its income tax.*
>
> *Collectively, their adjusted gross income was $1.3 trillion. And while $343,927 was the minimum AGI to be included, on average, Top 1-percenters made $960,000"……*

To be fair, other sources calculate the threshold a different way and come up with somewhat different answers. An October 2011 report by Bankrate.com includes the following:

> *"By Kay Bell • Bankrate.com*
>
> *"…Other studies, higher incomes: Some other tax calculations require higher incomes before a person can be classified as part of the top 1 percent of earners.*
>
> *"The Tax Policy Center in Washington, D.C., a joint venture of the Urban Institute and Brookings Institution, runs an economic simulation model that shows the top 1 percent of earners in 2009 made $503,086. TPC projects $516,633 as the cutoff for the top earners in 2010 and $532,613 for 2011.*
>
> *"Roberton Williams, senior fellow at the Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center, says his group's income figures are larger because it "takes a much broader, more comprehensive look at income. We look at income regardless of the source, not just adjusted gross income."…"*

Another excerpt – this one from Wikipedia:

> *Article Title:* ***Wealth in the United States***
>
> *"This article is about the economic concept of wealth. For a discussion of affluence, see Affluence in the United States.*
>
> *"…after the Great Recession which started in 2007, the share of total wealth owned by the top 1% of the population grew from 34.6% to 37.1%...*
>
> *"….The total value of all U.S. household wealth in 2000 was approximately $44 trillion. Prior to the Late-2000s recession which began in December 2007 its value was at $65.9 trillion. After, it plunged to $48.5 trillion during the first quarter of 2009. The total household net worth rose 1.3% by the fourth quarter of 2009 to $54.2 trillion, indicating the American economy is recovering."…..*

And, an excerpt from a 2011 report by the non-partisan Congressional Budget Office:

> ***"Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2011 to 2021***
>
> *"The deficits of $1.4 trillion in 2009 and $1.3 trillion in 2010 are, when measured as a share of gross domestic product (GDP), the largest since 1945—representing 10.0 percent and 8.9 percent of the nation's output, respectively.*
>
> *"For 2011, the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) projects that if current laws remain unchanged, the federal budget will show a deficit of close to $1.5 trillion, or 9.8 percent of GDP. The deficits in CBO's baseline projections drop markedly over the next few years as a share of output and average 3.1 percent of GDP from 2014 to 2021."*

And:

> **Employers and Nonemployers - BusinessUSA**
>
> *"About three quarters of all U.S. business firms have no payroll. Most are self-employed persons operating unincorporated businesses, and may or may not be the owner's principal source of income. Because nonemployers account for only about 3.4 percent of business receipts, they are not included in most business statistics, for example, most reports from the Economic Census."*

And:

**Published in February 2011 by the U.S. Small Business Administration, Office of Advocacy**

"Small businesses are key to the nation's well-being. They account for a significant share of the U.S. economic production and hiring. This profile from the Office of Advocacy uses the latest available data to illustrate the status of small business and their contributions to the U.S. economy. (Note that a small business is defined as one with fewer than 500 employees.)

"Small businesses totaled 27.3 million in 2008. Of these, approximately 6 million were employers, and they accounted for 49.6% of U.S. private-sector jobs (Table 1). Small firms made up 99.7% of U.S. employers."

And:

**Published in February 2011 by the U.S. Small Business Administration, Office of Advocacy**

**"What is small firms' share of employment?**

"Small businesses employ about half of U.S. workers. Of the 120.9 million nonfarm private sector workers in 2008, small firms employed 59.7 million and large firms employed 61.2 million. About half of small firm employment is in second-stage companies (10-99 employees)…"

And finally:

**Bureau of Labor Statistics**

"THE EMPLOYMENT SITUATION -- JULY 2012….

"Both the number of unemployed persons (12.8 million) and the unemployment rate (8.3percent) were essentially unchanged in July. Both measures have shown little movement thus far in 2012. ….

"The number of persons employed part time for economic reasons (sometimes referred to as involuntary part-time workers) was essentially unchanged at 8.2 million in July. These individuals were working part time because their hours had been cut back or because they were unable to find a full-time job. ….

In July, 2.5 million persons were marginally attached to the labor force, down from 2.8 million a year earlier. (These data are not seasonally adjusted.) These individuals were not in the labor force, wanted and were available for work, and had looked for a job sometime in the prior 12 months. They were not counted as unemployed because they had not searched for work in the 4 weeks preceding the survey."

## Pop Quiz: Math Questions

Below are a few simple math questions for fifth graders (including fifth graders in red **and** blue states):

**Facts:**

- The current National Debt is $15,982,000,000,000 ($15.82 trillion) and rising by the moment
- Nearly $0.40 of every $1.00 in federal taxes goes to pay the interest on the current National Debt
- The annual federal deficit for 2011 for the United States was approximately $1.5 trillion
- The average adjusted gross income for the top 1% of individual federal income tax payers is $960,000 – round it to $1 million/year
- The threshold for entry into the top 1% of all individual taxpayers is in the range of $350,000
- The total adjusted gross income of all households in the top 1% of individual taxpayers is in the range of $1.3 trillion
- The total number of households making up the Top 1% is in the range of 1.4 million
- The top 1% of all individual taxpayers pay approximately 37% of all taxes paid by individuals
- The total household net worth of ALL households combined is in the range of $55 trillion
- The percentage of total household wealth owned by the top 1% is in the range of 40%
- The approximate number of small businesses that employ people other than owners was 5.9 million in 2009
- The approximate employment of small non-farm businesses is 57.5 million (2008)
- The number of unemployed persons in July 2012 was12.8 million and the unemployment rate was 8.3percent. That does not include 8.2 million "under-employed" persons or 2.5 "marginally attached" persons.
- There were 120.9 million nonfarm private sector workers in 2008

**Question 1: If the federal government confiscated (we the 99% stole) *ALL* of the adjusted gross income of the top 1% of individual taxpayers, would we have a balanced budget?**

***Answer: No. $1.5 trillion annual deficit is greater than $1.3 trillion adjusted gross income of all of the top 1%. We would also have to confiscate all of the adjusted gross income of some portion of the next 1% to balance the budget. Seems a little out-of-the-box, but doable. At least for the first year.***

**Question 2: If the federal government confiscated *ALL* of the assets of the top 1% of individual taxpayers, could we wipe out the national debt?**

**Answer: Yes. $55 trillion x 40% = $22 trillion. That's much greater than the $15.82 trillion National Debt. *Now we're talking!* We could have another $5 trillion Stimulus and be prosperous again, now committed to stay within our means (after the Stimulus). We might even have a trillion left over so we could overspend next year – and then get serious about the balanced budget thing. We could even consider**

**providing a living wage to the Top 1% whose assets we confiscated (stole). Just keepin' it real.**

**Question 3: How many small businesses would cease to operate if the federal government confiscated most of the assets of the Top 1% over a reasonable amount of time – say three years – for the purposes of paying off the National Debt?**

**Answer: Can't calculate from these facts. The New Democrats might say not more than 1.4 million, since that's the number of households which make up the Top 1% of all federal income tax payers. The New Democrats might say *"That's a small price to pay, since there are 27 million small businesses in the US. 1.4 million/27 million x 100% = 0.52% of all small businesses? Small potatoes for the common good. High fives!"* Can't you just hear Chris Matthews, Bill Maher, or Keith Olbermann proffering that wisdom?**

Certainly, the New Democrats could point out there's plenty of precedent for the "Expedited Wealth Redistribution" approach:

- **The Medieval Inquisitions**
- **The Bolshevik Revolution**
- **The Holocaust**
    - and everyone knows what fantastic results those "Expedited Wealth Redistribution" initiatives produced.

But wait – what if the substantial majority of the 1.4 million households were actually Small Business Employers – of which there are about 5.9 million?

## Pop Quiz: Civics Questions

**Question 4: Who exactly are small business employers?**

**Answer: They are:**

- ✓ For-profit businesses with 2 to 500 employees.
- ✓ Businesses started and run by entrepreneurs.
- ✓ Owners of franchised businesses who pay large sums to operate under a national name brand.
- ✓ Businesses that make products.
- ✓ Businesses that serve people.
- ✓ Businesses that provide environmental stewardship.
- ✓ Businesses that sponsor softball teams and give time and money to charity.
- ✓ Businesses that grow people and provide training and mentoring.

- ✓ Businesses that provide support for families of all types.
- ✓ Businesses owned and run by our family members, friends, neighbors, and many of us.
- ✓ Businesses that raise the water level for everyone's boat, not by "trickle down", but by operating as a flotilla, tied together in a web of ropes.

**In fact the "trickle down" analogy was always a poor one. Now that we all have been exposed to the intricacies of the internet, we can recognize the dynamics of business and commerce in American society – and in the Global Marketplace – are strikingly similar to the World Wide Web.**

And, similarly, viruses and weaknesses in the internet system can spread and bring the efforts and communications of millions of users to a virtual standstill in moments. Bet the artists who recorded *"We Are the World"* two decades ago didn't realize that one day their words would describe much more complex and intricate relationships than charitable contributions and caring attitudes.

**Question 4: What if 1million small business employers were to disappear – by natural death or by government confiscation of sufficient assets to cause failure?**

**Answer: It depends on which ones fail:**

- **If 5.9 million small business employers employ 57.5 million people, and 1 million small business employers of average size fail or die, then the likely number of lost jobs is:**

  **1 million/5.9 million x 57.5 million**

  **= 9.75 million <u>additional</u> unemployed people**

- **Now, that would put the unemployment rate at:**

  **(12.8 million + 9.75 million)/(120.9million – 9.75 million) non-farm private sector workers x 100% –** ***(We'll admit we had trouble finding the <u>total</u> number of current private sector employees)***

  **= 22.55 / 111.15 x 100%**

  **= 20.3% unemployment - not including under-employed and "marginally attached" workers**

**Hell, we could have an all-our Great Depression – not just a measly "Great Recession".**

**Then, we could just go after assets of the next one or two percent…**

**And before long, we would all have about the same assets, and our income and employment might come mostly from the government. But at least we would all be in the same boat. And there would be no annoying rich people around to envy.**

## Obama and the New Democrats' New Deal

Recently, Mr. Obama said, ***"If you've got a business, you didn't build that. Somebody else made that happen. The Internet didn't get invented on its own. Government research created the Internet, so then all the companies could make money off the Internet..."***

Clearly, Mr. Obama bought in to the Al Gore claim that he had, in fact, invented the internet. As a "Community Organizer" – commonly defined as "a shyster who uses taxpayer funds to build a resume and avoid real work" – Mr. Obama may have had little actual contact with legitimate small business employers.

Mr. Obama's "development methods" more closely resemble those of a Chicago drug dealer than a legitimate leader: The same day that Obama made his ***"You didn't build that!!"*** proclamation, a story broke that the Obama administration had *suspended* a campaign to encourage more people to apply for food stamps.

Below is the photo that ran with one report of that story:

**Your Future President**
**Barack "Tookie" Hussein Obama**

Looks like a pothead pimp (with all due respect to pothead pimps) who would mock and fleece legitimate businesspeople, encourage people to get on food stamps and no-work welfare, and seek to make people dependent on his drug: **OPM – Other People's Money**. We can't imagine anyone trusting this Incompetent Con Man / 'Drug" Pusher to watch the dog, let alone with the future of America and the world. (Please note that pothead pimps come in all colors and nationalities – including blends. The pimp business is an equal opportunity employer – as is the drug business.)

We looked up 'Tookie" on the internet and found the following:

**Kabalarian Philosophy (@ kabalarians.com)**

**"Your First Name of: Tookie**

---

*"Below is a brief analysis of the **first name only**. For an analysis of your full name and destiny, see our **full free Name and Birth Date Report** service for further details.*

- *Your name of **Tookie** indicates qualities of a leader and organizer but a difficulty in concentrating and systematizing your efforts interferes with achieving your goals.*
- *You desire a good standard of living and the best quality in all your material possessions.*
- *You have a friendly nature, well-liked by others for your sense of humour and pleasant personality and you love parties and socializing in general.*
- *You could be musically or artistically inclined and may often be the "life" of the party and would enjoy finding expression in the entertainment field.*
- *Although the name **Tookie** creates executive ambitions, we emphasize that it frustrates you through a scattered and emotional nature.*
- *This name, when combined with the last name, can frustrate happiness, contentment, and success, as well as cause health weaknesses in the reproductive organs, liver, and bloodstream."*

**Incompetence, inconsistency, incontinence, impotence….. We can't make this stuff up.**

# Comparison of the America's Comeback Team and New Democrat Party Candidates' Financial Contribution to the Common Good

## Mr. Romney's Financial Contribution to the Common Good

The 2010 tax return of Willard M. (Mitt) and Ann D. Romney, the Republican Party's candidate for President and his wife, shows that they gave $2.98 million to charity on top of paying $3.0 million in federal taxes and $899,000 in state and local taxes. That's $6.9 million to the common good, not including sales taxes, etc. The Romney's Adjusted Gross Income for 2010 was $21.6 million; that means that his total "contribution" of their income for 2010 was **32%.**

The 2011 tax return of Mitt and Ann Romney shows that they gave $4.02 million to charity on top of paying $3.23 million in federal taxes and $1.55 million in state and local taxes. That's $8.80 million to the common good, not including sales taxes, etc. The Romney's Adjusted Gross Income for 2011 was $20.9 million; that means that their total "contribution" of their income for 2011 was **42%.**

**The average contribution of Mitt and Ann Romney to the common good for 2010 and 2011 combined is 37% of Adjusted Gross Income – with total contribution of $15.7 million.**

## Mr. Obama's Financial Contribution to the Common Good

The 2010 tax return of Barack Hussein and Michelle L. Obama, the New Democrat Party's President and his wife, shows that they gave $245,000 to charity and paid $544,000 in federal taxes and $78,300 in state and local taxes. That's $867,300 to the common good, not including sales taxes, etc. The Obama's adjusted gross income for 2010 was $1.73 million; that means that their total "contribution" of their reported income for 2010 was **50.1%.**

The 2011 tax return of Barack Hussein and Michelle Obama shows that they gave $172,100 to charity and paid $162,100 in federal taxes and $58,800 in state and local taxes. That's $393,000 to the common good, not including sales taxes, etc. The Obama's reported Adjusted Gross Income for 2011 was $789,700; that means that their total "contribution" of their reported income for 2011 was **49.7%.**

However, The Obama's reported Adjusted Gross Income does not include the value of housing, meals, transportation, housekeeping services, entertainment, clothing, or all the other things all other Americans, including Mr. Romney and Mr. Ryan, pay for out of their reported Adjusted Gross Income. All of those things certainly double the REAL Adjusted Gross Income, so when we adjust that calculation to make an apples-to-apples comparison,

**we find that The Obamas contribute closer to 25% of their REAL Adjusted Gross Income, with a total contribution of $1.26 million.**

### Comparison of the Presidential Candidates' Contribution to the Common Good

- **The Romneys contributed 50% more (37% compared to an estimated 25%) of their actual income to the common good on a percentage basis than the Obamas.**
- **Mr. Romneys' total contribution to the common good was 12.5 times higher ($15.7 million compared to $1.26 million) than the Obama's.**

### Mr. Ryan's Financial Contribution to the Common Good

The 2010 tax return of Paul D. and Janna L. Ryan shows that they gave $2,600 to charity and paid $37,500 in federal taxes and $10,900 in state and local taxes. That's $51,000 to the common good. The Ryan's Adjusted Gross Income for 2010 was $215,400. The Ryan's total contribution of their income in 2010 was **23.7%**

The 2011 tax return of Paul Ryan shows that they gave $13,000 to charity and paid $64,800 in federal taxes and $20,900 in state and local taxes. That's $98,700 to the common good. The Ryan's Adjusted Gross Income for 2011 was $323,000 – putting them in the Top 2% of individual taxpayers. The Ryan's total contribution of their income in 2011 was **30.5%.**

Mr. Ryan, a member of Congress for 7 terms, sleeps in his Congressional office at night. He does not enjoy the fringe benefits of the Office of the President or Vice President, so the calculation for the Ryan's contribution to the common good is as calculated above. **The Ryans contributed an average of 27.1% of their Adjusted Gross Income, with a two year total contribution of $149,700**

### The Biden's Financial Contribution to the Common Good

The 2010 tax return of Joseph R. and Jill L. Biden shows that they gave $4,800 to charity and paid $71,100 in federal taxes and $31,000 in state and local taxes. That's $106,900 to the common good. The Biden's reported Adjusted Gross Income for 2010 was $333,000 – putting them in the Top 2% of individual taxpayers. The Biden's total contribution of their income in 2010 was **32%**

The 2011 tax return of Joseph and Jill Biden shows that they gave $5,500 to charity and paid $87,900 in federal taxes and $29,400 in state and local taxes. That's $122,800 to the common good. The Biden's reported Adjusted Gross Income for 2011 was $379,000 – putting them again in the Top 2% - or Top 1%. The Biden's total contribution of their income in 2011 was **32.4%**

However, as with the Obama's reported Adjusted Gross Income, the Biden's reported Adjusted Gross Income does not include the value of housing, meals, transportation, housekeeping services, entertainment, clothing, or all the other things all other Americans, including Mr. Romney and Mr. Ryan, pay for out of their reported Adjusted Gross Income. All of those things certainly double the REAL Adjusted Gross Income, so when we adjust that calculation to make an apples-to-apples comparison, **we find that the Bidens contribute closer to 16% of their REAL Adjusted Gross Income, with a total contribution of $230,000.**

**Comparison of the Vice Presidential Candidates'**
**Contribution to the Common Good**

- **The Ryans contributed approximately 70% more (27.1% compared to an estimated 16%) of their actual income to the common good on a percentage basis than the Bidens.**
- **Mr. Biden's total contribution to the common good was approximately 50% higher ($230,000 compared to $150,000) than the Ryan's.**

## So What?

The **So What?** is that the America's Comeback Team candidates **walk the talk**, while the New Democrat Party Leaders just **talk the talk**. The **last thing** this country needs is a bunch of Articulate Incompetent New Democrat Socialist Progressive Pandering Pimp Bullshit Artists in power for the next four years.

This election may well determine the survival or demise of the United States of America as we have known it for the past 200+ years. All of the advancements of the pioneering, the War Between the States, the Industrial Revolution, and the Civil Rights Movement could be destroyed in a few years of constituency warfare waged by the New Democrats. Frankly, we have absolutely no respect for the New Democrat regime or their elected operatives. And we are certainly not alone. We are not in the Top 1%. We may not be in the Top 5%. But we can clearly see the end result of an Expedited Wealth Redistribution agenda – a commitment which the New Democrats have repeatedly and publicly professed.

## The Bottom Line

**All Americans need America's Comeback Team and the hope that we will all**

**Remember the Future.**