

From: Chad Walldorf <chad@eightysixllc.com>
To: Soura, ChristianChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov
Date: 8/23/2012 12:40:44 AM
Subject: Fw: Confidential - additional item
Attachments: 20120822165717728.pdf

Seems to me you guys warned about this in budget veto message...

----- Original Message -----

From: Rainwater, Frank [mailto:Frank.Rainwater@ors.sc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2012 05:10 PM
To: Chad Walldorf
Subject: Confidential - additional item

Chad, just so you are aware ...

Attached is a portion of the proviso and priority funding for the supplemental appropriations. With the revenue falling short, the lower priorities will not likely be funded. To the extent the open-ended appropriations came in above/over estimates and whether there were any lapsed funds, the available funding based on the revenue shortfall could move up or down. Really won't know that until the CG releases his statement.

On our list (and not sure if I've given you one with the correct handwritten notes), it appears the shortfall may start around Item 35, the \$30 million supplemental appropriations for the local government funds. Some of this item may be funded but items below that may not (again, depending on lapsed and open-ended accounts).

Just FYI

-----Original Message-----

From: Frank Rainwater [mailto:frank.rainwater@ors.sc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2012 4:57 PM
To: Rainwater, Frank
Subject:

This E-mail was sent from "RicMPC4500" (Aficio MP C4500).

Scan Date: 08.22.2012 16:57:17 (-0400)
Queries to: richo@drss.state.sc.us