

From: [Pisarik, Holly](#)  
To: [SBoone@dew.sc.gov](mailto:SBoone@dew.sc.gov) <[SBoone@dew.sc.gov](mailto:SBoone@dew.sc.gov)>  
Date: 12/7/2015 11:49:20 AM  
Subject: FW: State of TX v. USA  
Attachments: [RE: Texas v. United States - Entry of Protective Order.eml](#)

---

Susan, Please see below e-mail from Emory. How would you like to proceed?

---

From: Emory Smith [<mailto:ESmith@scag.gov>]  
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:17 AM  
To: [Pisarik, Holly](#)  
Subject: RE: State of TX v. USA

See attached explanatory email from TX and the protective order. As you can see, the protective order is very restrictive. I believe I would have to provide the info directly to the DEW lawyer or supervisor after he or she signed the agreement as provided therein (see para. 4d of order). Anyone at DEW who receives the info would have to sign it, also. Para 5. I would prefer to request only names at this point rather than ss no's, etc. . If the names don't show up, we should not need the other personal info. If they do match up, I can request the remaining info.

Please let me know whether you'd like me to request the names of the SC persons who received three-year Employment Authorization Documents that subsequently have been invalidated.

Emory

---

From: [Pisarik, Holly](#) [<mailto:HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov>]  
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2015 4:44 PM  
To: Emory Smith  
Subject: FW: State of TX v. USA

See message below from DEW. How should we proceed?

---

From: Boone, Susan [<mailto:SBoone@dew.sc.gov>]  
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2015 3:38 PM  
To: [Pisarik, Holly](#)  
Subject: RE: State of TX v. USA

Holly, thank you for reaching out to us. I have reviewed the information and conferred with our team and it appears that DEW could be impacted by this decision. The only way to confirm whether benefits were provided to these individuals is to obtain their PII and compare it to our claims information. Please let me know how you would like us to proceed.

Thank you,  
Susan M Boone