

**From:** Patel, Swati <SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov>  
**To:** Veldran, Katherine <KatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov>  
Baker, Josh <JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov>  
Smith, Austin <AustinSmith@gov.sc.gov>  
**Date:** 12/30/2015 11:45:45 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Try this one...

---

The report is much shorter and still fairly understated without a clear conclusion, which is fine by me. But I have concerns about the first sentence in the Findings. I think the intent is simply to state that the process exists for capital bonding, not "approval". (See below) If y'all agree, I think KV can ask Martha to rephrase that sentence.

Other thoughts?

### Findings

The Committee found that appropriate procedures for the limitations, introduction and approval of a capital bond bill are in place. Article X, Section 13 of the SC Constitution stipulates that "subject to the conditions and limitations in this section, the State shall have power to incur indebtedness...." Chapter 47, Title 2, SC Code of Laws 1976 (as amended) outlines the procedure for passage of bond legislation.

The Committee commends the General Assembly and the Department of Administration for lifting the ban on developing the five-year Comprehensive Permanent Improvement Plan (CPIP).

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Veldran, Katherine  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 29, 2015 6:28 PM  
**To:** Patel, Swati; Baker, Josh; Smith, Austin  
**Subject:** Try this one...