

From: Schimsa, Rebecca <RebeccaSchimsa@gov.sc.gov>

To: Glaccum, David <DavidGlaccum@gov.sc.gov>

Veldran, Katherine <KatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov>

Baker, Josh <JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov>

Mottel, Haley <HaleyMottel@gov.sc.gov>

Patel, Swati <SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov>

Smith, Austin <AustinSmith@gov.sc.gov>

Pisarik, Holly <HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov>

Date: 2/11/2016 1:59:09 PM

Subject: RE: 2/8 Follow Ups

---

The amendment to S.1000 (Local Camden Bill) is still unconstitutional local legislation, because in its effect and application, it still only applies to the City of Camden. Beyond a constitutional violation, there are a variety of policy concerns as well. **Do we need to provide Rep. Funderburk with this feedback?**

Explanation: The language is drafted to apply to municipalities "incorporated...on or before 1791 and not located in the coastal zone" – however, the Dept. of Archives confirmed that only Charleston and Camden were incorporated by 1791, and since Charleston is excluded as it is part of the coastal zone, then the bill applies only to Camden. The Supreme Court has held that if the effect of a law is local/special, then it is unconstitutional.

---

From: Schimsa, Rebecca

Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 10:51 AM

To: Glaccum, David; Veldran, Katherine; Baker, Josh; Mottel, Haley; Patel, Swati; Smith, Austin; Pisarik, Holly

Subject: RE: 2/8 Follow Ups

H.4666 – DV Fatality Review (Pope):

- Following Brannon's vote against the bill in Judiciary yesterday, Stirling is going to speak to him about his concerns, which include that the bill "grows government" and is duplicative of the statewide fatality review set in the 2015 DV Bill. Stirling will provide us feedback for whether our office needs to speak with him as well.

H.3191 – FOIA Reform (Newton):

- HP/RS met with Bill Rogers, Press Assoc., re. a remaining McMaster-Medlock recommendation, the legislative exemption. They are okay if we try to get a vote on this amendment.
- KV/RS met with Hembree re. the legislative exemption amendment, and he will get back to us.
- KV – Others?

S.1000 – Local Camden Bill (Sheheen):

- RS checked in with City Manager Mel Pearson again today – the Fire Chief has not yet contacted the State Fire Marshal, and Mr. Pearson has not yet passed along the property owner's contact information, but he said they would try to get all of that done this week and understand we are waiting on them.
- HM received draft language for a statewide fix to the bill, which allows municipalities to pass an ordinance to annex property that is (1) contiguous on all sides; (2) commercial; (3) vacated and unoccupied; (4) finding it a danger to town's historic beauty and significance; (5) finding it a danger to safety and health of community due to lack of ventilation, light, and sanitary facilities, dilapidation, and/or deleterious land use.
  - \*\*\*We will need to discuss the policy.
  - \*\*\*This also appears not to apply to the Camden property, since that property appears only to be contiguous to city property on two sides.

---

From: Glaccum, David

---

Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2016 6:37 PM

To: Veldran, Katherine; Baker, Josh; Mottel, Haley; Patel, Swati; Schimsa, Rebecca; Smith, Austin; Pisarik, Holly

Subject: RE: 2/8 Follow Ups

Update:

S.0853 (emergency anaphylaxis treatment)

- From Katie Phillips—the committee is currently working on an amendment that would make the bill permissive, versus its current form which is a mandate. DHEC would be fine with the amended version. Katie is going to send over the amendment tomorrow for our review. (I have also reached out to David Wilson, per Katie's suggestion, regarding the coal ash bill that is on the House Calendar. When I receive a response, I will let y'all know).

S.0973 (insurance premium tax revenue diversion to Forestry)

- Josh and I provided information (veto message, current revenue for fire trucks/dozers at Forestry, cost of fire trucks/dozers at Forestry) to Swati on this today so that she could touch base with Lucas. If anyone else would like that information, we can circulate.

On all the licensing bills (mobile barbershops, hearing aids, telemedicine, eye-care, auxiliary dentists), I have received some feedback from Richele, but I had follow up questions for her. Nothing I have received thus far makes me think we should change our opposition to support. Once I receive the follow ups, I will circulate. Mobile barbershops did receive second reading today in the House with the vote split mostly along party lines.

Everyone please have a pleasant evening.

DMG

---

From: Glaccum, David

Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2016 9:29 AM

To: Veldran, Katherine; Baker, Josh; Mottel, Haley; Patel, Swati; Schimsa, Rebecca; Smith, Austin; Pisarik, Holly

Subject: RE: 2/8 Follow Ups

Update:

S.0589 (music therapy)

- From Christian—If music therapy is medically necessary for an individual, a physician or licensed professional of the healing arts may bill for reimbursement through SC Medicaid. Further, Christian wrote that he has “yet to see a peer-reviewed, double-blind study that show music therapy is effective.”
- From Ray Farmer—not aware of any health insurer providing coverage for music therapy, and in fact many specifically exclude it (while Director Farmer did not say this, I assume private insurers don't cover it because, as Christian wrote, there is no good science supporting this type of therapy).

Therefore, I think our position should remain opposed to this legislation.

---

From: Glaccum, David

Sent: Monday, February 08, 2016 4:58 PM

To: Veldran, Katherine; Baker, Josh; Mottel, Haley; Patel, Swati; Schimsa, Rebecca; Smith, Austin; Pisarik, Holly

Subject: RE: 2/8 Follow Ups

Updates:

- 1) S.0589—Emailed both Christian and Ray Farmer requesting information regarding insurance/Medicaid coverage for music therapy. Will follow up once I receive a response.
- 2) S.0853—Emailed and called Katie Phillips at DHEC requesting they provide us with any concerns they have with the legislation as well as what their current training/certification practice is related to insect stings and epipens. Will follow up once I receive a response.
- 3) S.0868—Haley and I spoke with Sen. Young and the issue with the competing eminent domain bills is already settled. Need to watch the hearing this week just to make sure the good language passes out in place of the bad.
- 4) H.3200—Recommended amendment to the higher ed IP bill attached.
- 5) H.4056—Emailed Wendy at DOT requesting their input on the DOT contractor legislation. Will follow up once I receive a response.

---

From: Veldran, Katherine

Sent: Monday, February 08, 2016 2:06 PM

To: Glaccum, David; Baker, Josh; Mottel, Haley; Patel, Swati; Schimsa, Rebecca; Smith, Austin; Pisarik, Holly

Subject: 2/8 Follow Ups

Attached are the follow ups from today's bills meeting.

Please keep us posted with updates.

KV

Katherine F. Veldran

Director of Legislative Affairs | Office of Governor Nikki Haley

O: 803-734-5124 | C: 803-767-7583

[KatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov](mailto:KatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov)