

From:

To:

Date: 7/27/2016 11:36:05 AM

Subject:

---

**Bethune-Hill v. Virginia State Board of Elections**, No. 15-680

Issue(s): (1) Whether the court below erred in holding that race cannot predominate even where it is the most important consideration in drawing a given district unless the use of race results in “actual conflict” with traditional districting criteria; (2) whether the court below erred by concluding that the admitted use of a one-size-fits-all 55% black voting age population floor to draw twelve separate House of Delegates districts did not amount to racial predominance and trigger strict scrutiny; (3) whether the court below erred in disregarding the admitted use of race in drawing district lines in favor of examining circumstantial evidence regarding the contours of the districts; (4) whether the court below erred in holding that racial goals must negate all other districting criteria in order for race to predominate; and (5) whether the court below erred in concluding that the General Assembly’s predominant use of race in drawing House District 75 was narrowly tailored to serve a compelling government interest.

[Holly G. Pisarik](#)

Chief Legal Counsel

Office of Governor Nikki R. Haley

O: 803-734-8465 C: 803-322-6255