

From: Wingo, Karen <Karen.Wingo@dss.sc.gov>

To: Symmes, BrianBrianSymmes@gov.sc.gov

Pisarik, HollyHollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov

Date: 5/25/2016 5:59:55 PM

Subject: FW: Draft Response to Tim Smith on DSNAP Questions

---

DRAFT RESPONSE TO TIM. His questions are in the email below.

Tim,

Thank you for reaching out to us regarding the findings of the audit and below you will find the answer to each of your questions about DSNAP. After you have reviewed, please let me know if you have any questions or want to discuss in more detail.

1.

County	Total Applications Approved	Total Applications Reviewed in Audit	IPV Claims Established
Bamberg	788	67	2
Berkeley	12,004	436	6
Calhoun	1,308	46	2
Charleston	23,679	829	17
Clarendon	2,694	135	0
Colleton	2,245	101	2
Darlington	5,843	292	2
Dorchester	8,287	373	5
Fairfield	1,728	78	9
Florence	12,648	635	7
Georgetown	5,445	272	4
Greenville	10,291	880	68
Greenwood	1,905	162	5
Horry	15,685	549	4
Kershaw	3,498	122	7
Lee	2,531	215	0
Lexington	6,305	221	28
Marion	5,789	521	6
Newberry	545	25	0
Orangeburg	8,677	738	21
Richland	26,686	940	62
Spartanburg	7,023	601	37
Sumter	10,167	508	3
Williamsburg	3,331	283	0
Total	179,102	9,029	297

2. The random audit undertaken by DSS was voluntary and is neither mandated nor recommended by FNS. Rather, the audit was completed by the Agency voluntarily. State-wide, the Department determined that IPV's were found in 3.3% of the applications reviewed state-wide. The rate of IPV's was higher in Greenville County than the state-wide average, but was less than 10%. At this time, based on the findings of the audit, DSS does not plan to expand its audit in Greenville or other counties, but rather will focus its efforts on recovery.

3. DSS has submitted to FNS a report on the DSNAP program implemented in response to the historic flood. Preliminary feedback from FNS on-site during the event and subsequently was that DSS' administration of the program was compliant with federal requirements and best practices. However, DSS will await feedback from FNS prior to evaluating whether any alternation of its practices or documents is warranted.

Karen

Karen Luchka Wingo  
Director of Communications and Legislative Affairs  
South Carolina Department of Social Services  
1535 Confederate Avenue  
Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Office Phone: (803) 898-8030  
Email: [karen.wingo@dss.sc.gov](mailto:karen.wingo@dss.sc.gov)  
[www.dss.sc.gov](http://www.dss.sc.gov)



Privacy Notice: This message may contain information that is protected from disclosure by federal and/or state law, or is otherwise privileged or confidential. This communication is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited and violations of applicable federal and/or state law may subject you to civil and/or criminal penalties. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone and delete this message

*Do not use this email to make a report of abuse or neglect. To make a report of abuse or neglect, please call your local DSS office or law enforcement immediately.*

---

From: Smith, Tim [<mailto:tcsmith@greenvillenews.com>]  
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 10:42 AM  
To: Wingo, Karen <[Karen.Wingo@dss.sc.gov](mailto:Karen.Wingo@dss.sc.gov)>  
Subject: RE: Results of DSNAP Audit & Fraud Findings

Karen,

A couple more follow-ups:

1. Can you give me a breakdown of the number of cases sampled by county and the number of fraud cases by county?
2. Was there any thought to reviewing more cases from Greenville given the fertile fraud ground there?
3. Does DSS plan to alter its application or its process in any way for future D-SNAP events based on the results of the sample review?
4. Can you tell me if the child death data for 2015 is available yet?

Thanks!

Tim