

From: Patel, Swati
To: Soura, ChristianChristianSoura@gov.sc.gov
Date: 6/12/2012 9:46:47 AM
Subject: Fw: Fw:

From: Toal, Jean [mailto:JToal@sccourts.org]
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2012 09:25 AM
To: Patel, Swati
Subject: RE: Fw:

Swati: I am disappointed that we were not able to talk before this veto was issued. I would very much like to talk to you this morning ASAP. Josh apparently misunderstood this bill completely. Our EFiling will be a completely optional service. Only those who want to use it would pay a fee. Mandatory or "imposed" fees are set by statute for paper filing. If we had elected to offer EFiling the way most states do it, we would use an outside vendor who would charge considerable more than we plan to charge. We are building the ECourts system in house. We will use the fees to replace federal funding for our statewide court technology system. If it generates sufficient revenue, we will reduce county maintenance fees as well. This business model for in house creation and marketing of optional services is one all state government has been urged to embrace. The business community has been totally supportive of our efiling initiative. It passed each house unanimously. Please call me at your earliest convenience. Thanks, Jean Toal

From: Patel, Swati [mailto:SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 11, 2012 9:04 PM
To: Toal, Jean
Subject: Fw:

Dear Chief Justice,

I wanted to let you know that Gov. Haley plans to veto H 4821 related to setting court fees. The veto will be returned tonight. The veto message is attached.

I am happy to have our Budget Director - Christian Soura - call you about this for further information. Or you may call him at 734-5156.

I apologize that I do not have more information to pass along but please do not hesitate to call me anytime.

Swati

From: Baker, Josh
Sent: Monday, June 11, 2012 08:36 PM
To: Patel, Swati
Subject:

Joshua D. Baker
Senior Policy Advisor
Office of the Governor of South Carolina
803.734.5153 (o)
803.351.0981 (c)

