

From: Glaccum, David <DavidGlaccum@gov.sc.gov>
To: Pisarik, Holly <HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov>
Date: 12/14/2015 11:07:28 AM
Subject: RE: Revised Version

This approach works for me. I think no background is absolutely more direct. I have a couple semantic suggestions, but am otherwise fine with the approach. Let me know if you would like to discuss further.

Thanks for your help.

DMG

From: Pisarik, Holly
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2015 6:46 AM
To: Glaccum, David
Subject: Revised Version

I tried to make it a little more direct. Thoughts?

From: Glaccum, David
Sent: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:05 PM
To: Pisarik, Holly
Subject: Fwd: '(12.13.15) Letter from Gov Haley to Sec Moniz re MOX litigation - v.1'

Holly,

Please see the draft letter attached. Three paragraphs - 1) background, 2) specific penalty provision, and 3) violation and intent to enforce.

Please add/subtract where you see fit.

Thanks for your help.

DMG

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "David M. Glaccum" <david.glaccum@gmail.com>
Date: December 13, 2015 at 3:02:04 PM EST
To: <davidglaccum@gov.sc.gov>
Subject: '(12.13.15) Letter from Gov Haley to Sec Moniz re MOX litigation - v.1'