

**From:** Glaccum, David <DavidGlaccum@gov.sc.gov>  
**To:** Pisarik, Holly <HollyPisarik@gov.sc.gov>  
**Date:** 12/14/2015 11:07:28 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Revised Version

---

This approach works for me. I think no background is absolutely more direct. I have a couple semantic suggestions, but am otherwise fine with the approach. Let me know if you would like to discuss further.

Thanks for your help.

DMG

---

**From:** Pisarik, Holly  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2015 6:46 AM  
**To:** Glaccum, David  
**Subject:** Revised Version

I tried to make it a little more direct. Thoughts?

---

**From:** Glaccum, David  
**Sent:** Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:05 PM  
**To:** Pisarik, Holly  
**Subject:** Fwd: '(12.13.15) Letter from Gov Haley to Sec Moniz re MOX litigation - v.1'

Holly,

Please see the draft letter attached. Three paragraphs - 1) background, 2) specific penalty provision, and 3) violation and intent to enforce.

Please add/subtract where you see fit.

Thanks for your help.

DMG

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "David M. Glaccum" <david.glaccum@gmail.com>  
**Date:** December 13, 2015 at 3:02:04 PM EST  
**To:** <davidglaccum@gov.sc.gov>  
**Subject:** '(12.13.15) Letter from Gov Haley to Sec Moniz re MOX litigation - v.1'