

**From:** Priester, Nicole <NicolePriester@gov.sc.gov>  
**Required:** Patel, Swati <SwatiPatel@gov.sc.gov>  
Veldran, Katherine <KatherineVeldran@gov.sc.gov>  
**Subject:** Legislative Meeting  
**Location:** Mansion  
**When:** 3/18/2015 5:00:00 PM - 6:00:00 PM

---

**Wednesday, March 18, 2015**

**TIME:** 5:00-6:00 PM

**EVENT:** Legislative Meeting – Senate / Ethics

**LOCATION:** Mansion – Front Room (chairs in semi-circle)

**STAFF:** Swati and Veldran

**POC:** Katherine Veldran

**TOTAL ATTENDEES:**

1. Governor Haley
2. Chairman Wes Hayes
3. Senator Shane Massey
4. Senator Chip Campsen
5. Senator Brad Hutto
6. Senator Thomas McElveen
7. Senator Kevin Johnson
8. Swati Patel
9. Katherine Veldran
10. Tim Pearson?
11. James Burns?

**DIETARY RESTRICTIONS:** N/A

**MENU:**

Mini Beef Wellington  
Shrimp Cocktail  
Pork Spring Rolls  
Pecan Pralines  
Chocolate Chip Cookies

**NAME PLATES:** N/A

**SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:** Parking within the gates. Front Room (chairs in semi-circle)

**PURPOSE:**

To start a conversation about ethics reform with this group because they have all shown a clear **willingness to support independent investigations** OR can live with either version (Rankin or Martin) but want to pass a bill.

**SUGGESTED TALKING POINTS:**

**We have worked with Democrats on education initiatives, restructuring initiatives and want to find common ground on ethics reform.**

**BACKGROUND:**

They have publicly or privately indicated some level of support for ethics reform.

- **Senator Hutto:** Publicly has spoken in favor of true independent investigations. He has said many times that it doesn't matter who is enforcing the law so long as the law is clear. Hutto was not present during the floor vote. He voted FOR the Rankin amendment in Full Committee last week.
- **Senator Johnson:** He has publicly said he can live with either version of independent investigations. He said he voted for the Rankin amendment simply to move the bill forward.
- **Senator McElveen:** He supports both versions but voted for the Rankin amendment because he thought the bill would die. SP and KV met with him last week and he said he would vote to reconsider S. 1 but would not commit to how he would vote.

**MATERIALS: SP**