

From: Baker, Josh <JoshBaker@gov.sc.gov>
To: Adams, Chaney <ChaneyAdams@gov.sc.gov>
Glaccum, David <DavidGlaccum@gov.sc.gov>
CC: Godfrey, Rob <RobGodfrey@gov.sc.gov>
Date: 12/1/2015 12:53:25 PM
Subject: RE: Request

The 10 million is included in the PA state share number; we're trying actively not to give one total but I can walk her through it.

The \$114 million is largely state PA match, which is the state portion of costs associated with storm response and recovering damage to government assets at the state and local level. Another major portion is road work performed by the DOT that will ultimately be deemed ineligible for reimbursement, but was a necessary component of storm readiness, response, or recovery.

From: Adams, Chaney
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:08 PM
To: Baker, Josh; Glaccum, David
Cc: Godfrey, Rob
Subject: FW: Request

Josh and David,

Can you help us out here?

"Also, the roughly \$10 million that was transferred from the unclaimed property fund? is that a onetime thing? Was that included in the \$114?"

From: Cope, Cassie [<mailto:ccope@thestate.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:06 PM
To: Adams, Chaney
Subject: Request

Hey Chaney,

Can you get the official breakdown of

Total flood cost: xxxX?
State share: \$114 million
Federal gov share: XXX?

Also, the roughly \$10 million that was transferred from the unclaimed property fund? is that a onetime thing? Was that included in the \$114?

I may have more questions throughout the day...