

From: Osmer, Jr., Thomas M.
To: Doerring, Lora Lee <Doerring_LoraLee@scdps.state.sc.us>
CC: Bales, Tejal <Bales_TejalJ@scdps.state.sc.us>
Caldwell, John H. <Caldwell_JohnH@scdps.state.sc.us>
Matthews, Eugene H. <Matthews_EugeneH@scdps.state.sc.us>
McWhorter, Laurence S. <McWhorter_LaurenceS@scdps.state.sc.us>
Wengrow, Henry R. <Wengrow_HenryR@scdps.state.sc.us>
Pappas, Carol S. <Pappas_CarolS@scdps.state.sc.us>
Burgis, David <Burgis_David@scdps.state.sc.us>
Adams, Marcia S. <Adams_MarciaS@scdps.state.sc.us>

Date: 2/21/2001 4:36:50 PM

Subject: RE: AGENCY FISCAL IMPACT ESTIMATE FOR FY 99 BILL NO

The BEA received their information from us on January 22, 2001. I gave Henry the law concerning this bill and he gave his interpretation of it. That was before Tejal and John Caldwell informed me of the Proviso 36.7. Henry is now looking at that proviso. So, as for as a reply to the SBO, they have been informed that we are waiting for complete interpretation of all laws and provisos concerning special fees for special tags.

-----Original Message-----

From: Doerring, Lora Lee
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 3:13 PM
To: Osmer, Jr., Thomas M.
Cc: Bales, Tejal; Caldwell, John H.; Matthews, Eugene H.; McWhorter, Laurence S.; Wengrow, Henry R.; Pappas, Carol S.
Subject: RE: AGENCY FISCAL IMPACT ESTIMATE FOR FY 99 BILL NO

Tom – see attached. The BEA in the attached fiscal report disagrees with Henry's interpretation.
<< File: H3085 -- du plate bill.doc >>

-----Original Message-----

From: Osmer, Jr., Thomas M.
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 2:26 PM
To: Bales, Tejal; Caldwell, John H.
Cc: Doerring, Lora Lee; Matthews, Eugene; McWhorter, Laurence; Pappas, Carol; Royal, Don R.
Subject: AGENCY FISCAL IMPACT ESTIMATE FOR FY 99 BILL NO

Tejal,

This is in response to the e-mail from Henry Wengrow about the ducks unlimited bill. Read this addition at the bottom and let me know your response by e-mail.

<< File: #3085 Ducks Unlimited ammended version.doc >>