

From: Poore, Pete <PooreJP@scdot.org>  
To: Godfrey, RobRobGodfrey@gov.sc.gov  
Adams, ChaneyChaneyAdams@gov.sc.gov  
Symmes, BrianBrianSymmes@gov.sc.gov  
Date: 1/19/2016 1:27:27 PM  
Subject: The Nerve

---

Rob/Chaney/Brian

Just a heads up: We are NOT responding to these requests from Ron Aiken at The Nerve. We typically don't respond to The Nerve unless an FOI request is submitted. I'm forwarding these to you as information to show you the blog post he's working on. I'm sure he'll post something without a response from SCDOT nevertheless.

Pete

---

From: Ron Aiken [mailto:Ron@thenerve.org]  
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2016 10:41 AM  
To: Poore, Pete  
Subject: RE: New job listing...

Good morning! Possible to get a response on this today? I'm working on story for tomorrow. Also, Act 114 seems to prohibit anyone besides the internal auditor from conducting investigations internally, but perhaps you can clarify that as well.

Thanks!

Best,  
Ron

Ron Aiken  
Staff Writer  
[www.thenerve.org](http://www.thenerve.org)  
S.C. Policy Council  
@RonAiken  
c.803-200-8809

---

From: Ron Aiken  
Sent: Friday, January 15, 2016 2:14 PM  
To: 'Poore, Pete' <PooreJP@scdot.org>  
Subject: New job listing...

Good afternoon! Working on a piece about this position DOT has posted:

[http://agency.governmentjobs.com/sc/default.cfm?action=viewJob&jobID=1331080&hit\\_count=yes&headerFooter=1&promo=0&transfer=0&WDDXJobSearchParams=%20version%3D%271%2E0%27%3E%3Cheader%2F%3E%3Cdata%3E%3Cstruct%3E%3Cvar%20name%3D%27CATEGORYID%27%3E%3Cstring%3E-1%3C%2Fstring%3E%3C%2Fvar%3E%3Cvar%20name%3D%27PROMOTIONALJOBS%27%3E%3Cstring%3E0%3C%2Fstring%3E%3C%2Fvar%3E%3Cvar%20name%3D%27TRANSFER%27%3E%3Cstring%3E0%3C%2Fstring%3E%3C%2Fvar%3E%3Cvar%20name%3D%27FIND\\_KEYWORD%27%3E%3Cstring%3E%3C%2Fstring%3E%3C%2Fstruct%3E%3C%2Fdata%3E%3C%2FwddxPacket%3E](http://agency.governmentjobs.com/sc/default.cfm?action=viewJob&jobID=1331080&hit_count=yes&headerFooter=1&promo=0&transfer=0&WDDXJobSearchParams=%20version%3D%271%2E0%27%3E%3Cheader%2F%3E%3Cdata%3E%3Cstruct%3E%3Cvar%20name%3D%27CATEGORYID%27%3E%3Cstring%3E-1%3C%2Fstring%3E%3C%2Fvar%3E%3Cvar%20name%3D%27PROMOTIONALJOBS%27%3E%3Cstring%3E0%3C%2Fstring%3E%3C%2Fvar%3E%3Cvar%20name%3D%27TRANSFER%27%3E%3Cstring%3E0%3C%2Fstring%3E%3C%2Fvar%3E%3Cvar%20name%3D%27FIND_KEYWORD%27%3E%3Cstring%3E%3C%2Fstring%3E%3C%2Fstruct%3E%3C%2Fdata%3E%3C%2FwddxPacket%3E)

How does it relate to the job Paul Townes has? Is this new job in addition to Townes' office (I know there's a replacement in there for him now whose name escapes me, nice woman) or will it replace it?

If not replacing it, how does DOT see these two offices functioning together? What was the reason for the creation of this position?

That's basically what I need answered for the story. I'm also happy to print any positive/affirmative things you want to add about need for position and what it can do.

Oh, will the new position result in the changing of any duties in the current investigators office? Really, I'll take as much explanation on this including why this position was necessary when there's already someone charged with doing the job.

Thanks!  
Ron

Ron Aiken  
Staff Writer  
[www.thenerve.org](http://www.thenerve.org)  
S.C. Policy Council  
@RonAiken  
c.803-200-8809